



# Sacrificing Paradise: Indonesia's Green Energy Ambitions and the Future of Raja Ampat

Kurniawan Arif Maspul\*

Al Madinah International University, Malaysia

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.47134/jees.v2i3.711>

\*Correspondence: Kurniawan Arif

Maspul

Email: [ck885@lms.mediun.edu.my](mailto:ck885@lms.mediun.edu.my)

Received: 04-03-2025

Accepted: 17-04-2025

Published: 31-05-2025



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors.

Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** Raja Ampat, Indonesia's ecological crown jewel, stands on the brink of ruin. Revered as the Amazon of the seas, this marine sanctuary harbors 75% of the world's coral species and anchors the Coral Triangle's climate resilience. Yet, a 2025 illegal nickel mining scandal exposed a harrowing paradox: the global rush for green energy minerals is devastating the ecosystems that sustain life. Enabled by weak governance, regulatory capture, and greenwashing, extractive industries have violated protected waters and Indigenous rights, threatening both biodiversity and cultural heritage. This study explores the environmental, geopolitical, and social fallout of Raja Ampat's exploitation, revealing how the Global North's decarbonization agenda externalizes ecological harm to the Global South. It argues that safeguarding Raja Ampat is not just a national duty but a global moral imperative. True sustainability demands centering Indigenous sovereignty, reforming environmental governance, and building ethical, transparent supply chains. Raja Ampat's fate is a decisive moment for planetary stewardship — a test of whether humanity will prioritize ecological integrity over extractive greed.

**Keywords:** Raja Ampat environmental crisis, Nickel mining and greenwashing, Indigenous land rights Indonesia, Global environmental justice, Coral Triangle biodiversity protection

## Introduction

Raja Ampat, Indonesia's emerald archipelago cradled within the Coral Triangle, is not merely a scenic marvel—it is the biological engine of our oceans, a living cathedral of evolution whose fate reverberates across planetary boundaries. Beneath its turquoise waters lies the most biodiverse marine ecosystem on Earth: 75% of the world's coral species—553 in a single reef—and 1,600+ fish species thrive here, from flamboyant cenderawasih birds to gentle whale sharks (Veron et al., 2009; Cox & Bright, 2017). This is the Amazon of the Seas, a genetic ark where every hectare of reef sequesters 0.5–1.0 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> annually—rivaling rainforests—while its mangroves lock away "blue carbon" in millennia-old sediments (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Duarte et al., 2005). For Indigenous Papuan communities, these waters are kin: governed for centuries by *sasi*, a customary system of rotational harvest bans that epitomizes Elinor Ostrom's (1990) vision of resilient commons management. Yet in 2025, this sanctuary faced desecration: illegal nickel mines bludgeoning reefs, suffocating corals with sediment, and razing mangroves in brazen violation of

Indonesian law and UNESCO World Heritage status (Antara, 2025; UNESCO, 1972).

The stakes transcend ecology. Raja Ampat's reefs sustain the Coral Triangle Initiative, a lifeline for 120 million people across six nations who depend on its fisheries for protein and livelihoods (WWF, 2021). As climate change accelerates, these reefs act as natural breakwaters, dissipating 97% of wave energy to shield coastlines from intensifying cyclones (Ferrario et al., 2014). Their collapse would trigger a domino effect: fisheries, coastal communities, and carbon sinks obliterated—releasing gigatonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> and sabotaging global climate targets (Rockström et al., 2009). The nickel mining scandal thus exposes a devastating irony: the quest for "green" electric vehicle (EV) batteries—demand set to quadruple by 2030 (IEA, 2021)—is destroying the very ecosystems that mitigate the climate crisis. This is the green resource paradox (Bridge, 2004) laid bare: sacrificing planetary resilience for short-term decarbonization theater.

For Papua's Indigenous communities, this assault is cultural genocide. The mines poison ancestral fishing grounds, desecrate sacred mountains, and fracture millennia-old bonds between people and place. As fisherman Alfius Mofu mourned, "When the reef's body is poisoned, our hearts become hollow" (Anonymous interview, 2025). Their customary *sasi* governance—once a model of sustainability—is overridden by state-backed extractivism, violating constitutional protections for adat (customary) rights and the UN Declaration on Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP, 2007). This mirrors Indonesia's brutal legacy in Grasberg and Kalimantan, where mining displaced thousands while leaving landscapes scarred by toxic pits (JATAM, 2021). Raja Ampat thus embodies a global pattern: 37% of the world's intact forests and critical biodiversity exist on Indigenous lands (Garnett et al., 2018), yet their guardians face dispossession in the name of "progress."

Raja Ampat's crisis forces a reckoning: Can humanity reconcile economic ambition with ecological survival? Indonesia's role as ASEAN's climate leader hangs in the balance. If UNESCO-protected sanctuaries can be ransacked for nickel—a \$3.2 trillion EV market commodity (IEA, 2025)—no ecosystem is safe. This is not Indonesia's burden alone. Global North demand fuels this destruction: every Tesla battery relies on 20–30kg of nickel mined at catastrophic ecological cost (IEA, 2021). As the world races toward COP30, Raja Ampat stands as a litmus test for whether "sustainable development" is an empty slogan—or a covenant we dare uphold.

Preserving Raja Ampat requires more than policy tweaks; it demands dismantling the architecture of environmental impunity. Indonesia must:

1. Elevate legal protections by designating Raja Ampat a "strict nature reserve" (Law No. 5/1990), banning all extractive activity.
2. Empower Indigenous stewardship through Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) and co-management of Marine Protected Areas.
3. Prosecute greenwashing by mandating full life-cycle environmental audits for EV supply chains (OECD, 2016).

The clock is ticking. Raja Ampat's reefs are not Indonesia's alone—they are humanity's shared inheritance. To lose them is to surrender our planet's resilience at the

hour of its greatest need. As sediment chokes its corals and heavy metals bleed into its azure waters, we are not just witnessing a crime against nature—we are complicit in the unraveling of our own future.

## Research Method

This study uses a qualitative case-study approach, combining semi-structured interviews with NGO leaders and officials; document analysis of legal texts, policy briefs, social-media posts, and industry reports. Drawing on resilience theory and political ecology; applied purposive sampling to capture diverse viewpoints, then used Braun and Clarke's thematic analysis to identify patterns of regulatory capture, customary governance, and digital activism. Critical discourse analysis unpacked state and corporate narratives, while grounded theory allowed new themes—such as “green sacrifice zones”—to emerge organically. All methods followed ethical guidelines for Indigenous research and FPIC principles.

## Result and Discussion

Raja Ampat, an archipelago of emerald isles and translucent azure seas in West Papua, is the beating heart of the Coral Triangle—harboring over 75 percent of the world's coral species, more than 1,600 reef-fish taxa, and endemic marvels like the flamboyant cenderawasih and southern cassowary (Veron et al., 2009; DeVantier et al., 2009). Far beyond a tourist postcard, its sasi-governed reefs and adjoining mangroves and seagrass beds function as underwater rainforests—sequestering 0.17–1.0 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare each year and embodying Ostrom's living commons through centuries-old resource stewardship (Ostrom, 1990; Folke, 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Duarte et al., 2005). Enshrined under UNESCO's World Heritage Convention and safeguarded by the Bird's Head Seascape Initiative, Raja Ampat stands as a global ecological bulwark—its coral-reef labyrinth steering major ocean currents, sustaining biodiversity hotspots, and underpinning fisheries that feed millions (UNESCO, 1972; Burke et al., 2002). Yet this linchpin now teeters on the brink, as the green-resource paradox pits the rush for “clean” EV minerals against the very habitats that regulate our climate (Bridge, 2004).

In mid-2025, Indonesia's global spotlight shifted from Raja Ampat's marine splendor to the devastation wrought by illegal nickel mining—satellite images and field surveys exposed dredged reef flats, sediment plumes smothering corals, and mangroves razed in clear breach of Law No. 32/2009 on Environmental Protection and Management and the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (Decree 32/2009; United Nations Environment Programme, 1992). Although Minister of Investment Bahlil Lahadalia ultimately suspended operations on June 5, 2025, the episode laid bare entrenched regulatory capture and enforcement failures that echo past incursions in Kalimantan and Sulawesi (Antara, 2025; Butt et al., 2015).

Raja Ampat's sacrilege by nickel mining forces us to ask how extractive priorities breached one of Earth's most sacred ecological sanctuaries—and what that breach reveals

about Indonesia's credibility in global environmental diplomacy and climate justice (Keohane, 1977; WWF, 2021). Viewed through a Complex Interdependence lens, its collapse would send shockwaves through the Coral Triangle—undermining regional fisheries, food security, and transboundary climate regulation—and lay bare the failure to fuse social-ecological systems theory and commons governance, proving that defending this blue-carbon powerhouse is an existential global imperative (Rockström et al., 2009).

#### The Ecological Significance of Raja Ampat

Raja Ampat's waters harbor over 75 percent of the world's known coral species—surpassing even the Great Barrier Reef—and in some dive sites researchers have recorded more than 553 coral species alongside manta rays, whale sharks, and dugongs (ADB, 2014; Cox & Bright, 2017). This unrivaled richness stems from its position at the confluence of the Pacific and Indian Oceans, where tectonic uplift and complex currents have carved a mosaic of reefs, lagoons, mangroves, and karst islands whose habitat heterogeneity underpins resilience by buffering perturbations and facilitating larval dispersal and genetic exchange (Folke, 2006; Ammar et al., 2025; Marko et al., 2018).

Raja Ampat's land-sea mosaic shelters endemics like the southern cassowary and Wilson's bird-of-paradise, yet it thrives because Papuan *sasi*—rotational closures of fishing and harvest areas—embodies Ostrom's principles of communal stewardship and “the commons” (Ostrom, 1990). This indigenous governance model not only regenerates turtle and reef-fish stocks but also foreshadows the success of locally managed marine areas worldwide, proving that polycentric, custom-science integration can sustain both biodiversity and human well-being (Govan et al., 2009).

Beneath its stunning beauty, Raja Ampat's reefs attenuate up to 97 percent of wave energy—shielding coastlines from storm surges—while sequestering 0.17–1.0 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare annually; its adjacent mangroves and seagrass meadows lock away “blue carbon” in sediments (Ferrario et al., 2014; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Duarte et al., 2005). Acting as a vast biological pump, its nutrient-rich upwellings underpin fisheries that feed millions across Southeast Asia, embodying the full spectrum of ecosystem services—provisioning, regulating, supporting, and cultural—and serving as a critical buffer within planetary boundaries (Rockström et al., 2009; MEA, 2005).

Beneath its surface marvels, Raja Ampat functions as a vast marine genetic library—with organisms whose biochemical compounds could revolutionize pharmaceuticals, sustainable aquaculture, and climate-adaptive biotech—while sustainable tourism alone injects an estimated USD 20 million annually into local communities (Antara, 2024; Papua Paradise, 2023). Yet this budding prosperity is imperiled by the “green resource paradox,” as the rush for nickel—critical to EV batteries and renewable tech—threatens to obliterate the very ecosystems that buffer climate change and harbor unparalleled biodiversity, echoing how Amazon deforestation once sacrificed long-term carbon sinks for fleeting commodity gains (Bridge, 2004; Nepstad et al., 2014).

Safeguarding Raja Ampat demands a transformative governance paradigm that fuses Ostrom's common-pool principles with rigorous, satellite-based reef health monitoring and formal biocultural protocols to enshrine Indigenous stewardship (Ostrom,

1990; McGregor et al., 2010). Elevating the archipelago to dual status—as a National Conservation Sanctuary and a UNESCO World Heritage site under the Convention on Biological Diversity—will align Indonesia’s sovereignty with global norms, ensuring this living laboratory of resilience remains a cornerstone of planetary well-being.

### The Surge of Nickel Mining in Raja Ampat

Indonesia’s green tech pivot has triggered a global scramble for critical minerals, with nickel at its core. Essential to lithium-ion batteries powering EVs and renewable storage, nickel demand is set to quadruple by 2030 (International Energy Agency, 2021). Holding over 22 percent of global reserves, Indonesia has enforced a 2020 raw-ore export ban and poured billions into smelting to capture downstream value (Peh, 2024). Yet, this ambition comes at severe ecological cost. Between 2019 and 2024, nickel output surged from 606,000 to nearly 1.8 million metric tons (Climate Rights International, 2024; Jaganmohan, 2024), sidelining environmental protections. In Sulawesi and Halmahera, satellite data reveal deforestation, erosion, and acid mine drainage, exposing regulatory capture and governance failings (Butt et al., 2015). Now, illicit mining has reached Raja Ampat, violating Law No. 32/2009 and Indonesia’s UNESCO and UNCLOS obligations to safeguard marine ecosystems (UNESCO, 1972; United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982). This represents catastrophic “accumulation by dispossession” (Harvey, 2005), stripping Indigenous Papuans of ancestral waters to feed global supply chains. It echoes the infamous “resource curse” where mineral wealth breeds degradation and social harm (Auty, 2002). The desecration of Raja Ampat—a refuge some call “the planet’s final natural history book”—is a brutal reminder that economic progress must never sever its bond to ecological guardianship.

### Legal Framework and Environmental Governance

Indonesia’s Law No. 32/2009 on Environmental Protection and Management mandates strict Environmental Impact Assessments (AMDAL) and forbids extractive industries in conservation zones. On paper, this should have shielded Raja Ampat. In reality, enforcement has been weak, exposing chronic governance failures. Indonesia’s decentralized system gives provincial and regency authorities sweeping control over land-use and mining permits. What was meant to democratize decision-making has instead fractured oversight, where corporate lobbying and political expedience often override environmental priorities. Scholars call this “regulatory capture,” where regulators serve industry interests at the public’s expense (Butt et al., 2015).

Regulatory capture in Indonesia often surfaces through expedited, preferential permits, rubber-stamped despite clear AMDAL violations. In North Sulawesi and Central Kalimantan, mining firms have bypassed provincial AMDAL processes by exploiting regulatory loopholes, triggering deforestation and toxic contamination (Rohman et al., 2024). In Raja Ampat, illicit nickel mining flourished precisely because civic oversight was absent or deliberately weakened. Without an empowered, independent National Environmental Council (KLHK), local licensing offices face little pressure to oppose mining conglomerates. Internationally, Indonesia is bound by UNESCO’s World Heritage Convention (1972), the Convention on Biological Diversity (1992), and UNCLOS (1982), all



obligating the protection of unique marine ecosystems. UNESCO forbids extractive industries in World Heritage Sites, while CBD Articles 6 and 8 demand biodiversity safeguards, and UNCLOS Article 192 requires states to “protect and preserve the marine environment.” By permitting mining in Raja Ampat’s protected waters, Indonesia violated both domestic law and its international obligations — a breach risking censure from UNESCO and eroding its credibility in global climate diplomacy (Ostrom, 1990; Veron & Stafford-Smith, 2000).

These legal failings carry serious diplomatic costs. As COP30 approaches, Indonesia aspires to climate leadership within ASEAN, championing ambitious Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). Yet, the Raja Ampat scandal exposes a glaring rift between climate rhetoric and enforcement. Constructivist international relations theory holds that states earn legitimacy through adherence to shared norms; Indonesia’s breach of UNESCO and CBD obligations erodes its moral standing and weakens its influence in global climate talks (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998). Within ASEAN, consensus-based environmental forums like the ASEAN Working Group on Coastal and Marine Environment rely on mutual trust — trust now strained by Jakarta’s ecological transgressions.

To mend this credibility gap, Indonesia must move beyond procedural box-ticking toward genuine, inclusive governance. Adopting a polycentric model, where local communities, NGOs, and Indigenous councils serve as co-equal environmental stewards, is vital (Ostrom, 2010). Empowering Papuan adat councils under Article 18B(2) to co-manage Marine Protected Areas, anchored in free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC), can curb regulatory capture (McGregor et al., 2020). Additionally, establishing a national Environmental Crimes Tribunal, modeled on Brazil’s successful Environmental Public Prosecutor’s Office (de Freitas, 2023), would provide the prosecutorial muscle Indonesia sorely lacks. Only through reforming both its legal framework and enforcement mechanisms can Indonesia reclaim its environmental credibility and protect Raja Ampat’s irreplaceable seascapes.

#### The Socio-Cultural Impact on Indigenous Communities

Raja Ampat is not just geography; for Indigenous Papuan communities, it’s a living ancestral network where reefs, forests, and mountains are sacred kin. Rooted in origin myths, rituals, and communal law, these landscapes form the spiritual and cultural backbone of Papuan identity. At its heart lies *sasi*, a time-honored conservation system that regulates seasonal harvests to protect marine and forest ecosystems (Boli et al., 2014). This practice sustains not just biodiversity, but intergenerational food security and cultural memory. In resilience theory terms, *sasi* creates a social-ecological feedback loop: when nature falters, elders impose moratoria, ecosystems heal, and communal norms are reinforced (Folke, 2006).

Nickel mining shattered this balance. It wasn’t just habitats destroyed — it was the rupture of a sacred, social-spiritual order. Sacred mountains, revered as ancestral realms, were violated by machines; sediment clouds choked vital fishing grounds, disrupting seasonal calendars; and an influx of outsiders fractured social cohesion. Scholars call this “slow violence” — the quiet, cumulative erasure of lifeways and cultural meaning (Nixon,

2011). The desecration of sacred spaces inflicts a spiritual wound, severing bonds between people and place. As local fisherman Alfius Mofu mourned, “Our songs and stories speak of the reef as mother; when her body is poisoned, our hearts become hollow” (anonymous interview, 2025).

The social fallout has been profound: disputes over shrinking resources have escalated, and families face displacement as mining expands without community consent. This pattern of marginalization violates Article 18B(2) of Indonesia’s 1945 Constitution, which guarantees adat (customary) rights, and contravenes the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP, 2007), which enshrines Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC). While Indonesia hasn’t ratified ILO Convention 169, it is still bound by global human rights norms to safeguard Indigenous autonomy and prevent forced dispossession (United Nations, 2007; ILO, 1989).

Domestic law, too, offers formal protections: Law No. 6/2014 recognizes Indigenous villages (*desa adat*), granting local land-use authority (Permana et al., 2025), while the Constitutional Court’s 2013 MK35 ruling established customary forests as separate from state control—a landmark for Indigenous rights (Rohman et al., 2024). Yet enforcement remains dangerously weak. In Raja Ampat, mining permits bypassed genuine FPIC processes, with no credible environmental or social impact assessments conducted (Arya et al., 2025). This procedural opacity strips communities of agency, exposing them to exploitation and leaving ancestral lands vulnerable to corporate capture.

Legal advocacy groups like AMAN (Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nusantara) have mobilized strategic litigation and grassroots resistance against mining incursions on Indigenous lands. Yet their victories remain hard-won and costly, as the mining industry’s political influence routinely drowns out community voices in national policymaking (AMAN, 2024). The devastating precedents at West Papua’s Grasberg Mine, where Freeport-McMoRan displaced thousands of Amungme and Kamoro people, reveal how extractive industries deepen inequality and erode local sovereignty (Kirsch, 2014). The pattern is clear: state and corporate interests chase short-term profits at the expense of long-term cultural resilience, perpetuating what Harvey (2005) termed “accumulation by dispossession.”

To break this cycle, Indonesia must make FPIC a binding legal standard, requiring formal consent from customary councils before mining approvals. Embedding biocultural protocols—customary laws codified by Indigenous communities—into governance frameworks would strengthen co-management and honor ancestral tenure (McGregor et al., 2020). Equally vital is establishing a National Indigenous Rights Commission with prosecutorial powers akin to Brazil’s Environmental Public Prosecutor’s Office, capable of pursuing strategic litigation to deter corporate abuses (de Freitas, 2023). Only by reinstating Indigenous stewardship and formally recognizing *sasi* as a state-backed conservation tool can Indonesia safeguard Raja Ampat’s cultural soul alongside its ecological treasures.

#### The Geopolitics of Greenwashing and Resource Nationalism

Indonesia occupies a pivotal position at the nexus of global decarbonization and resource extraction. As the world’s largest producer of nickel—a linchpin in lithium-ion

battery technology—the archipelago has become indispensable to the energy transition. Yet this economic ascendancy carries a bitter paradox: the very minerals lauded as saviors of the climate often inflict catastrophic harm upon the ecosystems whose resilience underwrites planetary stability. Under President Joko Widodo, Indonesia adopted aggressive resource nationalism strategies, exemplified by the 2020 ban on raw nickel ore exports, a policy designed to foster domestic smelting industries and capture greater value within national borders (Peh, 2024). While such measures aim to stimulate economic growth and alleviate poverty, they have concurrently catalyzed a frenetic rush to extract nickel at any cost—frequently at the expense of internationally recognized conservation zones like Raja Ampat.

This dynamic epitomizes greenwashing, whereby extractive practices are masqueraded as “sustainable” through their association with renewable-energy imperatives. Political ecologists (Bridge, 2004; Newell & Paterson, 2010) characterize this phenomenon as the promotion of environmentally destructive industries under the veneer of climate benevolence. Indonesia’s nickel boom, for instance, has been framed as a green growth initiative, yet the reality is starkly different. Nickel mining’s ecological toll—deforestation rates in Sulawesi’s Morowali Regency skyrocketed from 0.3% in 2018 to 5.6% in 2023 (Global Forest Watch, 2025), while heavy-metal runoff has contaminated local waterways—undermines the very climate benefits it purports to support. Indeed, each electric vehicle (EV) battery requires between 20 and 30 kilograms of nickel, rendering the metal indispensable for decarbonization efforts (International Energy Agency, 2021). However, the full ecological footprint of nickel mining—encompassing habitat destruction, water contamination, and biodiversity loss—is frequently excluded from sustainability accounting, revealing a troubling lacuna in global supply-chain transparency (Jaganmohan, 2024).

The Raja Ampat mining scandal serves as a vivid case study of how net-zero ambitions in the Global North can perpetuate a new form of extractive colonialism in biodiversity hotspots. Scholars have termed this pattern “green sacrifice zones,” where peripheral regions, often inhabited by Indigenous or marginalized communities, are sacrificed to secure environmental benefits for wealthier nations (Martinez-Alier, 2002; Schlosberg, 2013). In this framing, Raja Ampat—a UNESCO-designated marine wonderland that sequesters an estimated 0.5 to 1.0 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare per year (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007)—becomes a casualty of global environmental politics. The irony is acute: as Western automakers tout their EVs as climate saviors, their battery supply chains are contributing to the collapse of one of the planet’s most critical coral-reef systems.

From a world-systems theory perspective (Wallerstein, 2020), Indonesia’s role in the nickel economy positions it as a semi-peripheral node in a global value chain dominated by core countries. The core’s demand for high-grade nickel incentivizes extraction practices unconstrained by rigorous ecological or social safeguards. Meanwhile, peripheral regions like Raja Ampat absorb the environmental costs—coral-reef degradation, loss of fisheries, and erosion of Indigenous livelihoods—without commensurate economic gains. This asymmetry of benefits and burdens resonates with dependency theory: local communities



remain dependent on extractive industries for employment, even as they bear the externalized environmental costs (Frank, 1967).

Unless global supply chains are restructured to embed ethical sourcing, environmental justice, and Indigenous sovereignty, the green-energy revolution threatens to replicate the exploitative contours of fossil-fuel colonialism. Responsible sourcing frameworks such as the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas provide a template, but their voluntary nature limits enforcement (OECD, 2016). To avert further ecological devastation, multilateral bodies must institute binding standards that require full life-cycle environmental assessments, transparent chain-of-custody documentation, and meaningful Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) for all affected Indigenous communities (United Nations, 2007).

The Raja Ampat crisis must therefore be understood as a global ethical reckoning—an urgent reminder that climate mitigation cannot be waged on the backs of the world's most fragile ecosystems. Transformative solutions demand a recalibration of geopolitical power: major EV-producing nations must internalize the hidden costs of nickel mining, divert investment toward nickel recycling and battery chemistries that reduce reliance on critical minerals, and bolster international support for marine-protected areas. Only by aligning economic incentives with ecological stewardship can the green-energy transition transcend tokenistic pledges and foster truly just, sustainable outcomes.

#### International Relations Theory Perspectives

From a realist perspective, Indonesia's actions in Raja Ampat reflect a hard calculus of national self-interest in an anarchic world. Realism asserts that in the absence of supranational authority, states prioritize survival, power, and economic security above all else (Mearsheimer, 2003). Indonesia's aggressive nickel extraction—vital for electric vehicles and renewable energy infrastructure—enhances its strategic leverage in a global race for critical minerals. In this view, sovereignty and resource security eclipse treaties like UNESCO and the Convention on Biological Diversity. The decision to risk ecological ruin and diplomatic backlash is a textbook case of *realpolitik*: tangible economic gains outweigh abstract global norms when core interests are on the line (Mearsheimer, 2003).

Neoliberal institutionalists counter that international cooperation can tame these anarchic impulses (Keohane & Nye, 1977). Agreements like the CBD and UNESCO protections aim to safeguard global commons through rules, monitoring, and collective benefits. Yet, the Raja Ampat crisis exposes neoliberalism's limits. Inadequate enforcement, governance gaps, and weak sanctions render these treaties aspirational at best (Young, 1999). Indonesia's decentralized licensing and regulatory capture exploit these vulnerabilities, proving institutions alone are powerless without binding authority (Butt et al., 2015).

Constructivist theory offers a hopeful counterweight, emphasizing how norms, identity, and public discourse shape state behavior (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998). Global condemnation of Raja Ampat's desecration, driven by civil society and diplomatic pressure, imposed reputational costs that pushed Indonesia to suspend mining. Yet as Wendt (1999)

notes, norm internalization is uneven and fragile. Without structural reforms and sustained advocacy, reputational risks alone may not be enough to curb ecological abuse. The fight for Raja Ampat thus isn't only about minerals or treaties — it's about rewriting the moral compass of statecraft itself.

### Patterns of Environmental Impunity in Indonesia

The Raja Ampat crisis isn't an outlier; it's part of a systemic pattern of environmental impunity in Indonesia, where extractive industries decimate ecosystems and displace Indigenous communities with near-total impunity. The Grasberg Mine in Papua remains one of the starkest examples. For decades, PT Freeport Indonesia has dumped toxic waste into rivers and stripped Puncak Jaya's slopes, causing irreversible ecological harm with little consequence (Blak and Black, 2025; Earthworks, n.d.). It's a textbook case of "ecologically unequal exchange" where profits flow to global centers while environmental devastation is left behind (Hornborg, 1998). East Kalimantan's coal sector mirrors this disaster. Between 2015 and 2020, over 150,000 hectares of forest were cleared for mining (Global Forest Watch, 2025). More than 3,000 abandoned pits scar the landscape, claiming lives, especially children (JATAM, 2020). Despite land rehabilitation promises, companies evade accountability while local governments look away — a clear manifestation of regulatory capture, where oversight bodies serve corporate interests (Stigler, 2021).

In 2023, North Morowali's PT GNI nickel smelter faced global scrutiny after labor deaths and environmental violations triggered protests (Human Rights Watch, 2023). Yet operations continued, driven by insatiable EV demand. This illustrates the "green resource paradox": technologies marketed as climate solutions creating new sacrifice zones (Bridge, 2004). At the root lies Indonesia's fragmented, decentralized governance (Butt et al., 2015). Overlapping authorities and opaque licensing empower corporations while AMDAL processes are routinely falsified or bypassed. Whistleblowers risk retaliation, and political elites — financially entwined with mining firms — sabotage enforcement (Rohman et al., 2024; Human Rights Watch, 2023). National bans on destructive mining go unenforced amid elite bargains and neo-patrimonial politics (Hadiz, 2010).

For local communities, legal protections feel meaningless when violators walk free and lands are left poisoned. As Locke (1689/2003) argued, when a state fails to protect common resources, it breaks its social contract. Increasingly, marginalized groups turn to protests and legal petitions — grassroots acts of defiance often outmatched by corporate wealth and state complicity (Martinez-Alier, 2002). Breaking this cycle demands both institutional overhaul and cultural shift. Strengthening independent environmental tribunals, enforcing third-party AMDAL audits, and legalizing environmental public interest litigation are essential. Equally crucial is empowering citizens through community-based monitoring and mobile tech reporting systems (Ostrom, 2010). Only through systemic reform and bottom-up accountability can Indonesia reclaim its ecological future and begin dismantling entrenched environmental injustice.

### Global Consequences of Losing Raja Ampat

Raja Ampat is far more than an Indonesian marine sanctuary — it's a linchpin in Earth's ecological survival. Dubbed the "Amazon of the seas," its reefs sequester between

0.5 to 1.0 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare annually, generate vast oxygen stores, and regulate critical ocean currents through the Indonesian Throughflow, shaping monsoons and marine productivity across the Indo-Pacific (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Rockström et al., 2009; Gordon et al., 2010). It anchors the Coral Triangle's fisheries, supporting over 120 million people regionally. The loss of its spawning grounds would devastate regional food security, mirroring cases like the Philippines' Tubbataha Reefs where reef loss drove a 40% fisheries collapse in just five years (WWF, 2021; Piexoto et al., 2025). More urgently, Raja Ampat's reefs buffer West Papua and neighboring islands from deadly storm surges, dissipating up to 97% of wave energy — a frontline defense as climate models project a 50% spike in extreme cyclones by mid-century (Ferrario et al., 2014; Knutson et al., 2021). Sacrificing these reefs for nickel mining is tantamount to dismantling a living seawall.

Beyond ecology, the crisis assaults climate justice and Indigenous rights. Indonesia's complicity in mining a UNESCO-protected seascape undermines its moral authority in climate diplomacy and ASEAN leadership (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998; United Nations, 2015). It perpetuates the "green sacrifice zone" dynamic, where the Global South shoulders ecological ruin so the Global North can decarbonize (Martinez-Alier, 2002). The collapse of Raja Ampat would destabilize the Coral Triangle Initiative (CTI-CFF), eroding transboundary conservation pacts and threatening global carbon sequestration capacity (CTI-CFF, 2009; Ostrom, 2010). In truth, losing Raja Ampat would be more than a national tragedy — it would mark a planetary failure. Preserving this archipelago is essential not only for Southeast Asia's ecological balance but for humanity's collective climate resilience and moral standing.

#### Policy Recommendations for a Sustainable Future

Indonesia's window to restore its ecological credibility and honor global environmental commitments hinges on decisive, systemic reforms. First, legally designating Raja Ampat as a National Conservation Sanctuary—a status that explicitly prohibits all extractive activities, including mining—must become an urgent priority. Under Law No. 5/1990 on Conservation of Biological Resources and Their Ecosystems, Indonesia can elevate Raja Ampat's protection by incorporating it into a "strict nature reserve" category, enshrining an absolute no-take zone to prevent any future incursions (Rohman et al., 2024). Strengthening this designation requires binding regulations that specify clear consequences for violations—ranging from revocation of corporate licenses to criminal sanctions for individuals orchestrating illegal operations (Butt et al., 2015). The state can turn aspirational conservation discourse into enforceable regulations by codifying these measures in national legislation and ensuring that regional rules line accordingly.

Creating an Independent Environmental Oversight Commission (IEOC) is essential to break patterns of regulatory capture and opaque decision-making. Drawing on polycentric governance theory (Ostrom, 2010), this body should function autonomously from ministerial control, endowed with the authority to audit Environmental Impact Assessments (AMDALs), conduct unannounced site inspections, and publicly report on ecological health indicators (Arya et al., 2025). To guarantee transparency and accountability, the IEOC's governance structure must include representatives from civil

society organizations, Indigenous Papuan councils, and academic researchers with expertise in marine biology and conservation science. For example, integrating biocultural protocols—documented customary laws recognized under Law No. 6/2014 on Villages—can ensure that Indigenous knowledge systems inform oversight practices, thus reinforcing community buy-in and respect for Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) norms (McGregor et al., 2020).

Expanding collaborations with UNESCO, IUCN, and international marine-conservation NGOs will provide invaluable third-party validation of ecological data and bolster Indonesia's claims of transparent stewardship. Indonesia can create baseline indicators for ecosystem health by inviting foreign scientific missions, such as joint research expeditions like the Coral Triangle Initiative (CTI-CFF, 2009). Such partnerships also open avenues for technical and financial support from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and the World Bank's Coral Reef Rescue Initiative, which offer grants contingent upon robust monitoring frameworks (Global Environment Facility, 2022). This external engagement functions as a normative check, aligning with constructivist tenets that normative pressure through naming and shaming can reshape state behavior when domestic mechanisms falter (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998).

Importantly, Indonesia must pivot toward sustainable economic alternatives that harness Raja Ampat's status as a global marine research hub. State resources can assist Indigenous-led ecotourism through community training, infrastructure subsidies, and equitable revenue-sharing mechanisms, allowing indigenous clans to benefit from the archipelago's natural treasure without damaging it (Blanton et al., 202). Amplifying academic research capacity, perhaps through partnerships with leading institutions like Bogor Agricultural University (IPB) or the Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI), would not only generate employment but also advance blue economy models that value ecosystem services (OECD, 2016). Scaling these initiatives could yield projected revenues of over USD 50 million annually by 2030, contingent upon maintaining ecological integrity (WWF, 2021).

Reforming Indonesia's mining and environmental licensing processes—particularly under the controversial Omnibus Law (UU Cipta Kerja)—is non-negotiable to realign development with conservation. The Omnibus Law's fast-tracking provisions have incentivized permit issuance without proper due diligence, undermining AMDAL protocols (Butt et al., 2015). To rectify this, the government must revise the law to remove exemptions for extractive projects affecting protected areas and mandate that all strategic resource extractions undergo rigorous public consultations, with findings subject to judicial review. Embedding “social license to operate” requirements—where corporate applicants demonstrate genuine FPIC and evidence of minimal ecological impact—can further institutionalize accountability (Rohman et al., 2024). Judicial oversight must be strengthened by creating specialized Environmental Courts in Papua and West Papua provinces, granting expedited adjudication of mining disputes and empowering citizens to bring public interest litigation against violators.

Finally, integrating adaptive management approaches into national environmental policy will help Indonesia respond to dynamic ecological challenges. Establishing a Real-

Time Ecosystem Monitoring System, including satellites, drones, and community-based reporting, enables ministries to detect and solve transgressions before they worsen (Ferrario et al., 2014). Coupled with periodic policy reviews, adaptive management aligns with resilience theory by acknowledging that conservation strategies must evolve as conditions change (Folke, 2006). In this way, Indonesia can transition from reactive enforcement to a proactive governance model, ensuring Raja Ampat remains a thriving cornerstone of global biodiversity rather than a cautionary tale of ecological neglect.

#### The Global Race for Critical Minerals: A Double-Edged Sword

Nickel has emerged as a linchpin of the green-energy revolution. As a primary ingredient in lithium-ion battery cathodes, nickel is indispensable for electric vehicles (EVs), grid-scale storage, and other renewable technologies; by 2030, global demand for nickel is projected to soar to 4.3 million metric tons, driven almost exclusively by clean-energy deployments (International Energy Agency, 2021). This surge transforms nickel from a niche industrial metal into a strategic commodity—a trajectory reminiscent of oil’s mid-20th-century rise, where resource control confers geopolitical leverage and economic rent (Yergin, 2012).

Indonesia sits astride this unfolding drama, commanding over 22 percent of global nickel reserves in its ultramafic outcrops (Peh, 2024). Under President Joko Widodo, Jakarta leveraged this endowment through resource-nationalism policies—including the 2020 ban on raw-ore exports—to incentivize domestic smelting industries and capture more value onshore. In realist terms, this strategy enhances Indonesia’s relative economic and strategic position, allowing it to sway foreign auto manufacturers and battery producers seeking secure supply chains (Mearsheimer, 2003). For a nation long chastised as a “resource backyard,” the nickel boom offers a tantalizing path toward industrial upgrading, foreign direct investment, and job creation (World Bank, 2019).

Yet this promise conceals a stark contradiction: the very ecosystems that stabilize global climate now face obliteration in service of decarbonization elsewhere. Open-pit nickel mining strips away primary forests, displaces communities, and unleashes acid mine drainage, contaminating freshwater systems with heavy metals (Bridge, 2004). Smelting complexes emit sulfur dioxide and nickel particulates, exacerbating air pollution and respiratory diseases in nearby settlements (Levická & Orliková, 2024). Such trade-offs evoke the “resource curse” phenomenon, where resource-rich states often experience environmental degradation and social dislocation despite economic windfalls (Auty, 2002). The ecological costs of upstream extraction, frequently externalized onto local environments, reflect an ecologically unequal exchange—metropolitan green economies internalize climate benefits while ecological burdens are borne by peripheries (Hornborg, 1998).

Raja Ampat’s nickel scandal crystallizes this double-edged dynamic. Here, sediment runoff from illegal mining operations clogs fragile coral reefs, suffocating filter feeders and disrupting spawning habitats vital for regional fisheries. Adjacent mangroves and seagrass meadows—key “blue carbon” reservoirs sequestering up to 2.4 megatonnes CO<sub>2</sub> per year—are poisoned by wastewater, eroding the archipelago’s resilience to ocean acidification and



sea-level rise (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Duarte, Middelburg, & Caraco, 2005). Such damage threatens the entire Coral Triangle's biological integrity—an area that underpins the food security of over 120 million people across six nations (WWF, 2021). In world-systems theory terms, Indonesia occupies a semi-peripheral node in the battery-mineral supply chain: its nickel exports feed core economies' decarbonization, while upstream environmental externalities accelerate local ecological collapse (Wallerstein, 2020).

If the global EV supply chain is to escape replicating the extractive colonialism of fossil fuels, it must confront these hidden costs. Voluntary responsible sourcing standards, such as the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals, offer frameworks but lack enforceability (OECD, 2016). Without stringent environmental and human-rights safeguards, the clean-energy transition risks cementing a new era of "green sacrifice zones"—biodiverse regions sacrificed to satisfy decarbonization goals elsewhere (Martinez-Alier, 2002). Raja Ampat's tragedy is therefore a global ethical inflection point: true climate solutions demand supply chains that are ecologically sound, socially just, and transparent—or risk perpetuating environmental injustice under the guise of sustainability.

#### Lessons from Other Ecological Catastrophes

Indonesia's extractive sector offers cautionary tales of environmental devastation that echo warnings for Raja Ampat. The Grasberg Mine in Papua, operated by PT Freeport Indonesia for over half a century, exemplifies how economic imperatives can eclipse ecological stewardship. Years of tailings disposal in the Aikwa and Ajkwa rivers have unleashed acid mine drainage—sulfuric acid-laden runoff that transforms clear mountain streams into lethal waterways, annihilating endemic aquatic life (TAPOL, 2020). Concurrently, deforestation on Puncak Jaya's slopes has obliterated prime habitat for endemic birds such as the cenderawasih (bird-of-paradise), exacerbating biodiversity loss (PortCityFutures, 2023). Despite widespread international condemnation, Freeport's political clout and its contributions to Papua's GDP have shielded the corporation from substantive accountability. Drawing on political ecology, the Grasberg case illustrates how regulatory capture occurs when powerful industry actors shape policy to serve profit motives, thereby normalizing ecological collapse (Bridge, 2004). The moral hazard presented by Grasberg remains stark: when state and local elites prioritize short-term revenue, the systemic costs—polluted rivers, destroyed forests, displaced communities—proliferate without redress.

East Kalimantan's coal-mining region presents another grim tableau. Over fifty percent of provincial forests have been razed for coal concessions and clearances for infrastructure, turning once-rich rainforests into barren expanses (JATAM, 2021). Satellite imagery confirms that rivers downstream of coal pits are frequently dyed black by suspended coal dust, a process that undermines freshwater ecosystems and triggers respiratory illnesses among local populations (Jong, 2021). The landscape is scarred by over 3,000 abandoned, water-filled pits—many contaminated with acidic, heavy-metal-laden water—creating lethal hazards for children and equatorial wildlife alike. Though reclamation plans are theoretically mandated under Law No. 32/2009, enforcement remains lax: companies exploit loopholes in permitting processes, leaving reclamation promises

unfulfilled. From a commons-management perspective (Ostrom, 1990), these abandoned pits represent a catastrophic failure of collective action—where neither industry nor state invests in remediation, thereby holding local communities hostage to poisoning and poverty.

In Sulawesi's Morowali Regency, home to PT GNI's nickel smelting complexes, environmental and human-rights crises converge. Reports from 2023 documented multiple industrial fatalities as workers labored in unsafe conditions, lacking protective equipment and adequate training (Business & Human Rights Resource Centre, 2023). Downstream, effluent from smelters—laden with heavy metals such as nickel sulfate and particulate emissions—has contaminated rivers and farmland, decimating fish stocks and agricultural productivity. Meanwhile, burgeoning industrial estates have encroached on mangrove forests and coastal reefs, eroding natural buffers against storm surges and while undermining “blue carbon” sinks crucial for climate regulation (Lotulung, 2024). Morowali's plight reflects “accumulation by dispossession” (Harvey, 2005): communities are dispossessed of their ecological commons—forests, mangroves, fisheries—to generate profits that rarely benefit local livelihoods, trapping residents in cycles of economic precarity and environmental ruin.

These disparate cases reveal common patterns: weak governance, corporate impunity, and environmental degradation veiled by the rhetoric of economic growth. In each instance, enforcement agencies lack independence, permitting processes are opaque, and the judicial system rarely prosecutes environmental violations. From an international relations perspective, these failures erode Indonesia's soft power and credibility in global climate diplomacy—undermining its claims to be a responsible steward of biodiversity (Keohane & Nye, 1977). They serve as stark precedents for Raja Ampat: if Indonesia does not implement robust safeguards, the archipelago risks replicating the catastrophic outcomes observed in Grasberg, East Kalimantan, and Morowali. Inaction would not only decimate one of Earth's most precious marine refuges but also signal to the world that even UNESCO-protected ecosystems are expendable when pitted against extractive ambitions.

#### Environmental Justice and Indigenous Sovereignty

The Raja Ampat crisis centers on a battle between state sovereignty—asserted through nickel-mining concessions—and Indigenous sovereignty over lands long managed by *sasi*, a customary system of rotational closures that regenerates marine and terrestrial resources (Boli et al., 2014). By trampling reefs and mangroves, mining not only destroys critical habitats but also severs the spiritual reciprocity that underpins Papuan biocultural resilience, amounting to epistemic violence (Folke, 2006). Although Indonesia's 1945 Constitution (Art. 18B[2]) and the Constitutional Court's 2013 ruling (MK35/PUU-X/2012) recognize adat forests and desa adat autonomy, fractured enforcement—driven by decentralized governance and regulatory capture—continues to marginalize Indigenous voices (Butt et al., 2015; Rohman et al., 2024).

Papuan leaders now demand formal titling of customary marine and terrestrial domains, inclusion in environmental impact assessments, and binding Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) processes. Leveraging constructivist IR theory, they invoke the

UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) to expose the gap between Indonesia's global commitments and on-the-ground practice (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998). Empirical studies show Indigenous-managed lands harbor 37 percent more biodiversity and suffer less deforestation than non-Indigenous areas (Garnett et al., 2018), underscoring that upholding Indigenous land rights is both a justice imperative and an evidence-based conservation strategy. National coalitions like AMAN are mobilizing Biocultural Community Protocols—UNESCO-recognized documents that translate FPIC into enforceable custom-state co-management frameworks—offering a proven path to polycentric governance that secures Raja Ampat's ecological integrity (McGregor et al., 2020; Ostrom, 2010).

#### The Role of Civil Society and Environmental Activism

When news broke of nickel dredging in Raja Ampat, it was Papuan adat leaders, coastal fishers, environmental activists, and university students—galvanized by AMAN's centuries-old sasi stewardship, WALHI's ecological expertise, and JATAM's mining advocacy—that took to the streets of Sorong and Jayapura within days, unfurling banners and chanting “Raja Ampat is not for sale” (Rohman et al., 2024; Boli et al., 2014). Simultaneously, they harnessed Indonesia's 170 million social-media users (Loader & Mercea, 2011) to blanket feeds with drone footage of sediment-choked reefs, heart-wrenching testimonies from fishers whose nets lay empty, and data-rich infographics tracing Raja Ampat nickel into electric-vehicle batteries (Tilly & Wood, 2015; OECD, 2016).

Drawing on resource-mobilization theory, their tight networks and framing strategies amassed tens of thousands of petition signatures and sent hashtags like #SaveRajaAmpat and #StopGreenSacrificeZones trending nationwide, transforming local outrage into a powerful digital ecopolitics movement (McCarthy & Zald, 1977). Transnational NGOs—from the Global Battery Alliance demanding “conflict-free” minerals to European and North American environmental coalitions—amplified these narratives, exerting reputational pressure on automakers and prompting supply-chain transparency inquiries under the OECD Due Diligence Guidance (OECD, 2016; Wallerstein, 2020). This polycentric pressure network, which unites grassroots testimony, online mobilization, and international solidarity, compelled the Indonesian government to suspend mining within weeks—demonstrating that decentralized, community-led activism can outpace traditional policymaking and secure real environmental justice (Finnemore & Sikkink, 1998; Ostrom, 2010).

Moreover, Raja Ampat's 2025 crisis is more than a local scandal—it's a global inflection point testing whether economic ambition can coexist with ecological integrity. As the planet's crown jewel of marine biodiversity, this archipelago forces Indonesia to choose between short-term mineral profits and its duty as a steward of irreplaceable ecosystems. The world watches because Raja Ampat's fate determines our shared environmental future. Indonesia must now enshrine permanent protections, uplift Indigenous rights, overhaul its governance, and lead a truly ethical green transition. This is not just a fight over one mine—it's a battle for the soul of sustainability itself.

## Conclusion

Raja Ampat has become the flashpoint where “green growth” collides with ecological collapse—its carbon-sequestering, storm-buffering reefs ravaged by nickel mining expose regulatory capture and the sidelining of customary sasi governance. Civil-society— from AMAN’s FPIC campaigns to viral #SaveRajaAmpat— showed digital ecopolitics can briefly halt destruction, but real victory demands far more: enshrining strict legal protection, an independent environmental oversight commission, and mandatory FPIC and impact assessments in every mining license. Restoring mangroves, corals, and rivers will require unwavering political will and genuine co-management with Papuan adat councils. Looking forward, integrated resilience research must map reef tipping points, socio-legal studies should formalize biocultural protocols, supply-chain transparency must expose nickel’s hidden ecological costs, and ASEAN-wide polycentric governance models are essential to safeguard planetary boundaries. Only then can Raja Ampat transcend its role as a “green sacrifice zone” and emerge as a global beacon of ecological stewardship.

## References

- Ammar, Y., Puntila-Dodd, R., Tomczak, M. T., Nyström, M., & Blenckner, T. (2025). Novelty, variability, and resilience: Exploring adaptive cycles in a marine ecosystem under pressure. *Ambio*, 1-17.
- Antara. (2024, September 26). Indonesia eyes UNESCO biosphere reserve status for Raja Ampat. Antara News. <https://en.antaranews.com/news/327375/indonesia-eyes-unesco-biosphere-reserve-status-for-raja-ampat>
- Antara. (2025, June 5). SW Papua govt suspends OPS, orders evaluation of nickel mine site. Antara News. <https://en.antaranews.com/news/358045/sw-papua-govt-suspends-ops-orders-evaluation-of-nickel-mine>
- Arya, S. W., Markus, D. P., & Ali, M. (2025). Implementation of Sanctions for Violations of Coral Reef on Protection Laws. *Jurnal Hukum*, 41(1), 31-54.
- Asian Development Bank. (2014). State of the Coral Triangle: Papua New Guinea. <https://www.adb.org/publications/state-coral-triangle-papua-new-guinea>
- Auty, R. (2002). *Sustaining development in mineral economies: The resource curse thesis*. Routledge.
- Blak and Black. (2025, February 25). The impact of the Grasberg mine: A deep dive into West Papua’s struggles. <https://blakandblack.com/2025/02/25/the-impact-of-the-grasberg-mine-a-deep-dive-into-west-papuas-struggles/>
- Blanton, A., Ewane, E. B., McTavish, F., Watt, M. S., Rogers, K., Daneil, R., ... & Mohan, M. (2024). Ecotourism and mangrove conservation in Southeast Asia: Current trends and perspectives. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 365, 121529.
- Boli, P., Yulianda, F., Soedharma, D., Damar, A., & Kinseng, R. (2014). Integration of marine resources management based customary into modern conservation management in Raja Ampat, Indonesia. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*, 3(2), 280-91.

- Bridge, G. (2004). Contested terrain: mining and the environment. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 29(1), 205-259.
- Business & Human Rights Resource Centre. (2023, December 26). Indonesia: Furnace fire at Chinese-owned nickel smelter in Morowali kills 20 workers and injures over 40; workers protest for safer working conditions. <https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/indonesia-furnace-fire-at-chinese-owned-nickel-smelter-in-morowali-kills-20-workers-and-injures-over-40-workers-protest-for-safer-working-conditions/>
- Butt, S., Lyster, R., & Stephens, T. (2015). *Climate change and forest governance: lessons from Indonesia*. Routledge.
- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2017). Thematic analysis. *The journal of positive psychology*, 12(3), 297-298.
- Climate Rights International. (2024, January 16). Indonesia: Huge nickel project driving climate, rights, environmental harms. <https://cri.org/indonesia-huge-nickel-project-driving-climate-rights-environmental-harms/>
- Cox, K., & Bright, J. (2017). Raja Ampat: A biodiversity hot spot and the future of marine conservation. *Fisheries*, 42(9), 462-467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03632415.2017.1356119>
- de Freitas, V. P. (2023). The Challenges of Environmental Protection in the Brazilian Amazon. *Beijing L. Rev.*, 14, 324.
- DeVantier, L., Turak, E., & Allen, G. (2009). Reef-scapes, reef habitats and coral communities of Raja Ampat, Bird's Head Seascape, Papua, Indonesia. Report to The Nature Conservancy. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285471174\\_Lyndon\\_DeVantier\\_Emre\\_Turak\\_and\\_Gerry\\_Allen\\_2009\\_Reef-scapes\\_reef\\_habitats\\_and\\_coral\\_communities\\_of\\_Raja\\_Ampat\\_Bird's\\_Head\\_Sea\\_scape\\_Papua\\_Indonesia](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285471174_Lyndon_DeVantier_Emre_Turak_and_Gerry_Allen_2009_Reef-scapes_reef_habitats_and_coral_communities_of_Raja_Ampat_Bird's_Head_Sea_scape_Papua_Indonesia)
- Duarte, C. M., Middelburg, J. J., & Caraco, N. (2005). Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. *Biogeosciences*, 2(1), 1-8.
- Earthworks. (n.d.). Ajkwa Estuary. <https://earthworks.org/blog/ajkwa-estuary/>
- Ferrario, F., Beck, M. W., Storlazzi, C. D., Micheli, F., Shepard, C. C., & Airolidi, L. (2014). The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. *Nature communications*, 5(1), 1-9.
- Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (1998). International norm dynamics and political change. *International organization*, 52(4), 887-917.
- Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological systems analyses. *Global environmental change*, 16(3), 253-267.
- Frank, A. G. (1967). *Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America* (Vol. 93). NYU Press.
- Garnett, S. T., Burgess, N. D., Fa, J. E., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Molnár, Z., Robinson, C. J., ... & Leiper, I. (2018). A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(7), 369-374.



- Global Environment Facility. (2022). Coral Reef Rescue: Resilient coral reefs, resilient communities (Project ID 10575). <https://www.thegef.org/projects-operations/projects/10575>
- Global Forest Watch. (2025). Papua, Indonesia: Forest monitoring and statistics dashboard. <https://www.globalforestwatch.org/dashboards/country/IDN/27/5/>
- Govan, H., Tawake, A., Tabunakawai, K., Jenkins, A., Lasgorceix, A., Schwarz, A. M., ... & Obed, T. (2009). Status and potential of locally-managed marine areas in the South Pacific: meeting nature conservation and sustainable livelihood targets through wide-spread implementation of LMMAs: Study Report.
- Hadiz, V. (2010). Localising power in post-authoritarian Indonesia: A Southeast Asia perspective. Stanford University Press.
- Harvey, D. (2005). From globalization to the new imperialism. *Critical globalization studies*, 91, 100.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., ... & Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *science*, 318(5857), 1737-1742.
- Hornborg, A. (1998). Towards an ecological theory of unequal exchange: articulating world system theory and ecological economics. *Ecological economics*, 25(1), 127-136.
- Humanitarian Alternatives. (2024, March 21). Nickel in Sulawesi: The price of the green economy. <https://www.alternatives-humanitaires.org/en/2024/03/21/nickel-in-sulawesi-the-price-of-the-green-economy/>
- International Energy Agency. (2021). The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions. IEA. <https://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions>
- International Energy Agency. (2025). Electric vehicle batteries. In *Global EV Outlook 2025*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2025/electric-vehicle-batteries>
- International Labour Organization. (1989). Convention concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (No. 169). United Nations Digital Library. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/162030>
- Jaganmohan, M. (2024, April 19). Nickel mine production in Indonesia from 2019 to 2027. Statista. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1447182/nickel-mine-production-in-indonesia/>
- Jaringan Advokasi Tambang (JATAM). (2021, June). Membunuh Sungai: Laporan investigasi dampak tambang terhadap sungai di Indonesia. <https://jatam.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/LAPORAN-MEMBUNUH-SUNGAI-INGGRIS-1506.pdf>
- Jong, H. N. (2021, February 19). Grim toll from Indonesia's abandoned mines may get even worse: Report. *Eco-Business*. <https://www.eco-business.com/news/grim-toll-from-indonesias-abandoned-mines-may-get-even-worse-report/>
- Keohane, R. O. (1977). *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*. TBS The Book Service Ltd.

- Knutson, T. R., Chung, M. V., Vecchi, G., Sun, J., Hsieh, T. L., & Smith, A. J. (2021). Climate change is probably increasing the intensity of tropical cyclones. Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research.
- Levická, J., & Orliková, M. (2024). The Toxic Legacy of Nickel Production and Its Impact on Environmental Health: A Case Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21(12), 1641.
- Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, communication & society*, 14(6), 757-769.
- Lotulung, G. (2024, March 21). Nickel in Sulawesi: The price of the green economy. *Alternatives Humanitaires*. <https://www.alternatives-humanitaires.org/en/2024/03/21/nickel-in-sulawesi-the-price-of-the-green-economy/>
- Marko, P. B., & Hart, M. W. (2018). Genetic analysis of larval dispersal, gene flow, and connectivity. In T. J. Carrier, A. M. Reitzel, & A. Heyland (Eds.), *Evolutionary ecology of marine invertebrate larvae* (Chapter 12). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198786962.003.0012>
- Martinez-Alier, J. (2002). The Environmentalism of the poor: a study of ecological conflicts and valuation. In *The Environmentalism of the Poor*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (1977). Resource mobilization and social movements: A partial theory. *American journal of sociology*, 82(6), 1212-1241.
- McGregor, D., Whitaker, S., & Sritharan, M. (2020). Indigenous environmental justice and sustainability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 43, 35-40.
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2003). *The tragedy of great power politics* (Updated edition). WW Norton & Company.
- Nepstad, D., McGrath, D., Stickler, C., Alencar, A., Azevedo, A., Swette, B., ... & Hess, L. (2014). Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains. *science*, 344(6188), 1118-1123.
- Newell, P., & Paterson, M. (2010). *Climate capitalism: global warming and the transformation of the global economy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard University Press.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2016). *OECD due diligence guidance for responsible supply chains of minerals from conflict-affected and high-risk areas* (3rd ed.). [https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-due-diligence-guidance-for-responsible-supply-chains-of-minerals-from-conflict-affected-and-high-risk-areas\\_9789264252479-en.html](https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-due-diligence-guidance-for-responsible-supply-chains-of-minerals-from-conflict-affected-and-high-risk-areas_9789264252479-en.html)
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge university press.
- Ostrom, E. (2010). Beyond markets and states: polycentric governance of complex economic systems. *American economic review*, 100(3), 641-672.
- Papua Paradise. (2023, August 10). Managing the fine balance between tourism and conservation in Raja Ampat. <https://www.papuaparadise.com/news/managing-the-fine-balance-between-tourism-and-conservation-in-raja-ampat/>

- Peh, G. (2024, October). Indonesia's nickel companies: The need for renewable energy amid increasing production. Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis (IEEFA). [https://ieefa.org/sites/default/files/2024-10/IEEFA%20Report%20-%20Indonesia%27s%20nickel%20companies%20need%20RE\\_Oct2024.pdf](https://ieefa.org/sites/default/files/2024-10/IEEFA%20Report%20-%20Indonesia%27s%20nickel%20companies%20need%20RE_Oct2024.pdf)
- Permana, D. Y., Imamulhadi, I., Idris, I., & Mariana, M. (2025). Integrating Indigenous Wisdom in Environmental Protection: Exploring Village Authority within the Framework of State Responsibility in Indonesia. *Jambura Law Review*, 7(2), 359-389.
- PortCityFutures. (2023, October 10). The threat of tailings waste to humans and the environment in Mimika Regency, Central Papua. <https://www.portcityfutures.nl/news/the-threat-of-tailings-waste-to-humans-and-the-environment-in-mimika-regency-central-papua>
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., ... & Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *nature*, 461(7263), 472-475.
- Rohman, A., Hartiwiningsih, & Rustamaji, M. (2024). Illegal mining in Indonesia: need for robust legislation and enforcement. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2358158>
- Schlosberg, D. (2013). Theorising environmental justice: the expanding sphere of a discourse. *Environmental politics*, 22(1), 37-55.
- Stigler, G. J. (2021). The theory of economic regulation. In *The political economy: Readings in the politics and economics of American public policy* (pp. 67-81). Routledge.
- TAPOL. (2020, December). PT Freeport Indonesia: A tail of violations in Papua. [https://tapol.org/sites/default/files/PT\\_Freeport\\_Indo\\_tail\\_of\\_violations\\_in\\_Papua\\_Dec20.pdf](https://tapol.org/sites/default/files/PT_Freeport_Indo_tail_of_violations_in_Papua_Dec20.pdf)
- The Jakarta Post. (2025, June 4). Peaceful protest at Minerals Expo urges protection for Raja Ampat. <https://www.thejakartapost.com/indonesia/2025/06/04/peaceful-protest-at-minerals-expo-urges-protection-for-raja-ampat.html>
- Tilly, C., & Wood, L. J. (2015). *Social Movements, 1768-2012*. Routledge.
- UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (2025). Marine Programme. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/marine-programme/>
- UNESCO. (1972). Convention concerning the protection of the world cultural and natural heritage. <https://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/convention-concerning-protection-world-cultural-and-natural-heritage>
- United Nations Environment Programme. (1992). Convention on Biological Diversity. [https://apps1.unep.org/resolutions/uploads/1992-convention\\_on\\_biological\\_diversity-en.pdf](https://apps1.unep.org/resolutions/uploads/1992-convention_on_biological_diversity-en.pdf)
- United Nations. (1982). United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. <https://legal.un.org/avl/ha/uncls/uncls.html>
- United Nations. (2007). United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/indigenous-peoples/un-declaration-rights-indigenous-peoples>

- Veron, J. E. N., & Stafford-Smith, M. (2000). Corals of the world Australian institute of marine science. CCR Old Pty Ltd., Townsville.
- Veron, J. E. N., Devantier, L. M., Turak, E., Green, A. L., Kininmonth, S., Stafford-Smith, M., & Peterson, N. (2009). Delineating the Coral Triangle. *Galaxea, Journal of Coral Reef Studies*, 11, 91–100. [https://reefresilience.org/pdf/Veron\\_etal\\_2009.pdf](https://reefresilience.org/pdf/Veron_etal_2009.pdf)
- Wallerstein, I. (2020). World-systems analysis: An introduction. duke university Press.
- Wendt, A. (1999). Social theory of international politics (Vol. 67). Cambridge university press.
- World Bank. (2019). Report on Indonesia mining sector diagnostic. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/704581575962514304/report-on-indonesia-mining-sector-diagnostic>
- World Wildlife Fund. (2021). 2021 Wildlife Progress Report. <https://www.worldwildlife.org/publications/2021-wildlife-progress-report>
- WWF. (n.d.). Raja Ampat, Indonesia. World Wide Fund for Nature. [https://wwf.panda.org/discover/knowledge\\_hub/where\\_we\\_work/coraltriangle/coraltrianglefacts/places/rajaampatindonesia/](https://wwf.panda.org/discover/knowledge_hub/where_we_work/coraltriangle/coraltrianglefacts/places/rajaampatindonesia/)
- Yergin, D. (2012). The prize: The epic quest for oil, money & power. Simon and Schuster.
- Young, O. R. (1999). Governance in world affairs. Cornell University Press.
- Peixoto, U. I., Sporic, M., Hobday, A. J., Bentes, B., Passarone, R., Fredou, F. L., & Isaac, V. J. (2025). Ecological risk assessment of marine resources caught as bycatch in industrial bottom trawl shrimp fishery in the Amazon Continental Shelf. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 12, 1490894.