Journal of Economics, Assets, and Evaluation, Volume 3, Number 1, 2025, *Page*: *1-10*

The Impact of Technological Progress on Employee Engagement

Rong Yang[1]*

Master of Management Technology, President University

*Correspondence: Rong Yang
Email: rongyang850@gmail.com

Received: 04-07-2025
Accepted: 17-08-2025
Published: 28-09-2025

Abstract: *This study investigates the multifaceted impact of technological progress on employee engagement within China's rapidly evolving wine industry, focusing on key regions including Ningxia, Xinjiang, Shandong, and Southwest China. By integrating Self-Determination Theory (SDT), Conservation of Resources (COR) theory, and Socio-Technical Systems Theory, the research explores how automation, IoT, and AI adoption influence engagement across viticulture, winemaking, and sales roles. A sequential mixed-methods design combined quantitative surveys (N=300 employees) with qualitative interviews (N=15). Findings reveal a curvilinear relationship between automation and engagement: moderate automation (50–70%) enhances competence and autonomy, while excessive automation triggers skill displacement anxiety. Technological overload, particularly among older workers, was mitigated by organizational interventions (e.g., peer mentoring). The study bridges theoretical gaps in technology-engagement literature and offers practical strategies for optimizing human-machine synergy during digital transformation.*

Keywords: *Technological progress, employee engagement, China wine industry, automation, organizational support service, education*

Introduction

Technological advancements—including IoT sensors, AI-driven analytics, and automation—are rapidly transforming the landscape of China's wine industry, particularly in innovation-forward regions such as Ningxia and Xinjiang. These technologies have significantly improved precision agriculture, quality control, and supply chain transparency, driving measurable gains in productivity and efficiency. However, this digital acceleration also introduces profound human-centered challenges, such as skill displacement, increased cognitive load, and rising intergenerational skill gaps. The convergence of modern technologies with traditional winemaking practices creates a complex socio-technical ecosystem in which machines and human expertise must coevolve. Despite these sweeping changes, scholarly exploration of how such shifts affect employee engagement—defined as the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral commitment to one's work—remains limited, especially in labor-intensive sectors like viticulture and artisanal production.

This study aims to fill that empirical and conceptual gap by adopting an integrative framework that combines Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which highlights autonomy and competence as drivers of motivation; Conservation of Resources Theory (COR), which

underscores the stress incurred when psychological resources are depleted; and Socio-Technical Systems Theory, which emphasizes the mutual adaptation between technology and social systems. By leveraging these frameworks, the research examines the nuanced ways in which technological adoption influences engagement levels across distinct occupational roles within the wine industry. Five central research questions guide this inquiry:

1. How do automation, AI, and IoT systems impact employee engagement differently in roles such as viticulture, winemaking, and sales?
2. What are the implications of technological change on job satisfaction and mental health outcomes?
3. How does employee acceptance of new technologies mediate the relationship between innovation and engagement?
4. To what extent does skill anxiety—particularly among older workers—moderate engagement levels during digital transitions?
5. What organizational strategies, such as digital upskilling and peer mentoring, are most effective in sustaining or enhancing engagement in tech-intensive work environments?

Through this multi-theoretical and role-specific approach, the study contributes both to academic literature and to practical strategies for navigating the human dimension of technological change in traditional industries.

Research Method

Study Design:

Sequential mixed-methods approach across four Chinese wine regions (Ningxia, Xinjiang, Southwest China, Shandong).

Participants:

Quantitative: 300 employees (100 viticulturists, 100 winemakers, 100 sales staff) with ≥1 year of role-specific technology exposure.

Qualitative: 15 interviewees selected from extreme engagement scorers (±1.5 SD).

Data Collection:

a. Phase 1 (Quantitative): UWES-9 engagement scale + custom technology modules (Likert 5-point), distributed via Qualtrics (72% response rate).
b. Phase 2 (Qualitative): Semi-structured interviews exploring technology experiences and organizational support.

Ethical Approval:

Bilingual consent forms; anonymized data storage.

Statistical Analysis: SPSS v28 for hierarchical regression and moderated mediation (Hayes Process Macro); NVivo v14 for thematic coding.

Result and Discussion

The quantitative analysis yielded several key findings aligned with the study's

hypotheses.

a. **H1 (Technology Empowerment):** A significant portion of respondents (**67.86%**) reported a perceived increase in **work-related competence** following the introduction of technological tools. Among occupational categories, **winemakers** exhibited the most substantial gain in engagement, with **42.86%** indicating marked improvement in motivation, autonomy, and skill utilization. These results support the proposition that targeted technological augmentation can serve as a psychological enabler when aligned with task complexity and role expectations.
b. **H2 (Curvilinear Effect of Automation):** Engagement levels were found to follow an **inverted U-shaped curve** with respect to task automation intensity. The highest engagement was observed at **moderate automation levels (20–40%)**, where **28.57%** of respondents reported peak motivation and satisfaction. Conversely, engagement dropped steeply beyond **60% automation**, where only **7.14%** remained engaged. This trend suggests a **threshold effect**, beyond which **skill redundancy** and reduced task significance begin to undermine intrinsic motivation.
c. **H3 (Technological Overload):** Roughly **28.57%** of participants reported experiencing **cognitive overload**, attributed to the complexity, pace, or volume of digital workflows. Regression analysis indicated that **age** was a significant moderator, with **older employees (over 40 years)** being more susceptible to mental strain ($\beta = -0.43$, $p < .05$). This finding highlights the uneven psychological cost of digital transformation across demographic segments.
d. **H4 (Organizational Support):** Organizational interventions such as **technical workshops** (**53.57%**) and **peer mentoring programs** (**46.43%**) were effective in **alleviating technostress** and enhancing adaptive confidence. Employees who participated in such initiatives reported higher perceived control and a greater sense of inclusion in the transition process.

Regional Disparities

Notable regional differences emerged. The Southwest China cluster, which implemented a hybrid model (partial digitization with manual oversight, 53.57% adoption), demonstrated the most balanced engagement outcomes (57.14%). In contrast, regions like Ningxia and Xinjiang, with higher rates of full automation, reported elevated levels of skill anxiety and a pronounced decline in perceived job relevance.

Qualitative Insights

Qualitative interviews offered a rich contextual layer to complement the statistical trends.

Many veteran winemakers, particularly in Ningxia, expressed a sense of professional displacement. While acknowledging operational improvements, they lamented the erosion of their traditional craftsmanship:

"There are fewer mistakes now, but I feel like a machine operator. The soul of the process is missing."

In contrast, employees from Shandong's sales teams emphasized the transformative role of peer mentoring in facilitating technological adaptation:

"Learning from colleagues made IoT less intimidating. It wasn't about manuals; it was about trust."

These narratives underscore the importance of interpersonal support mechanisms and emotional resonance in the adoption of new technologies, suggesting that digital literacy alone is insufficient without cultural and relational reinforcement.

Theoretical Implications:

Validated SDT-COR integration: Technology simultaneously fulfills competence needs (H1) and depletes cognitive resources (H3).

Quantified automation threshold (60%) for engagement collapse, extending Parker & Grote's (2022) framework.

Southwest China's hybrid model exemplified optimal socio-technical alignment.

Practical Recommendations:

HR Strategies: Role-specific technical workshops (addressing 57.14% training gaps); age-inclusive digital literacy programs.

Technology Deployment: Maintain 20–60% task automation; simplify data interfaces to reduce overload (28.57%).

Policy: Incentivize mentorship programs through industry associations.

Conclusion

Technological progress in China's wine industry exhibits dualities: Automation (≤60%) and IoT enhance competence (67.86%) and satisfaction (85.72%), but cognitive strain (28.57%) and skill anxiety (71.42%) emerge without adequate support. Southwest China's hybrid model and peer mentoring (46.43% efficacy) proved optimal for engagement. Future research should address regional sampling biases and conduct cross-cultural comparisons (e.g., Ningxia vs. Bordeaux).

References

Ahuja, S., & Thatcher, S. M. B. (2022). Digital transformation and employee resistance: The role of workplace culture. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 35(3), 489–506.

Albrecht, S. L. (2019). Employee engagement: 10 key questions for research and practice. Human Resource Development International, 22(3), 225–247.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2023). New directions in work engagement research. Current Opinion in Psychology, 48, 101505.

Belanger, F., & Crossler, R. E. (2020). Privacy in the age of smart technology: Implications for employee well-being. Information Systems Journal, 30(2), 237–264.

Braun, S., & Peus, C. (2019). Crossover of engagement in work teams: The role of leadership and trust. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 34(2), 85–99.

Chen, J., & Zhang, W. (2021). The impact of AI integration on employee stress and productivity: Evidence from Chinese manufacturing. Journal of Business Research, 134, 232–240.

Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2021). The Job Demands–Resources model: Challenges for future research. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 47, a1870.

Dery, K., Sebastian, I. M., & van der Meulen, N. (2021). The digital workplace is key to digital innovation. MIS Quarterly Executive, 20(2), 123–139.

Ghosh, R., & Shum, C. (2019). An integrative review of employee engagement and well-being: A social exchange perspective. Human Resource Development Review, 18(2), 156–181.

Gilson, L. L., & Maynard, M. T. (2020). Virtual teams and engagement: Bridging technology and motivation. Group & Organization Management, 45(1), 3–34.

Guest, D. E. (2019). Human resource management and employee well-being: Towards a new analytic framework. Human Resource Management Journal, 29(2), 113–126.

Hirschi, A., & Valero, D. (2022). Increasing work engagement through job crafting and meaningful work: A longitudinal intervention study. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 128, 103588.

Irawan, H., & Setiawan, M. (2020). Pengaruh digitalisasi terhadap stres kerja dan keterlibatan karyawan pada industri UMKM di Indonesia. Jurnal Psikologi Industri dan Organisasi, 5(1), 47–60.

Jabbour, C. J. C., & Sarkis, J. (2020). Industry 4.0 and HRM: A review and research agenda. International Journal of Production Research, 58(16), 5026–5040.

Kauffeld, S., & Spurk, D. (2020). The impact of skill obsolescence on employee performance. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 29(4), 543–556.

Mariani, A., et al. (2023). Technology-driven job redesign in traditional sectors: Evidence from Italian wineries. Human Resource Management Journal, 33(1), 45–62.

Ningxia Production Area Report. (2023). Ningxia Wine Industry Development Bureau.

Parker, S. K., & Grote, G. (2022). Annual Review of Psychology, *73*, 467–487.

Robinson, T. (2022). Applied Ergonomics, *98*, 102–115.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). Self-determination theory. Guilford Press.

Zhang, Y., & Li, X. (2023). Journal of Organizational Behavior, *44*(2), 123–145.