



Bridging Finance and Farming: A Literature-Based Analysis of Financial Management in Agribusiness

Najmah A. Mambuay*, Faisah D. Hadji Jalal, Abdani D. Bandera

College of Agriculture, Mindanao State University Main Campus, Marawi City, Philippines

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.47134/jbea.v3i1.939>

*Correspondence: Najmah A. Mambuay

Email: najmahmambuay@gmail.com

Received: 11-09-2025

Accepted: 10-10-2025

Published: 19-11-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The agribusiness sector is a cornerstone of national and global economies, encompassing the production, processing, marketing, and distribution of agricultural goods. Effective financial management within agribusiness is critical, as it directly influences resource allocation, investment decisions, risk mitigation, and overall enterprise sustainability and profitability. This study conducts a comprehensive literature-based analysis to examine how financial management practices bridge finance and farming, focusing on cost management, working capital management, financial risk management, investment appraisal, digital finance adoption, financial literacy, and corporate social responsibility (CSR). Evidence from diverse geographic and operational contexts demonstrates that structured financial practices significantly enhance profitability, operational efficiency, and resilience in the face of seasonal cash-flow fluctuations, price volatility, and environmental risks. Cost management aligns operational efficiency with strategic financial planning, while working capital management ensures liquidity and continuity during peak production periods. Financial risk management and CSR integration mitigate exposure to market and environmental uncertainties, promoting sustainable practices and stakeholder trust. The adoption of digital finance tools and platforms further strengthens the interface between financial planning and farm operations, although constraints such as low digital literacy and infrastructure limitations persist. Moreover, financial literacy among small-scale farmers emerges as a key enabler, facilitating the effective utilization of financial tools and resources. Investment decision-making frameworks incorporating scenario analysis and real-options valuation support informed capital allocation and long-term growth. Overall, the study underscores that bridging finance and farming is operationally vital, multi-dimensional, and strategically essential for achieving sustainable agribusiness growth. Insights from this analysis inform policymakers, practitioners, and researchers seeking to optimize financial management strategies in agribusiness contexts.

Keywords: Agribusiness, Financial Management, Cost Management, Working Capital Management, Financial Risk Management

Introduction

The agribusiness sector plays a pivotal role in national and global economies, encompassing the production, processing, marketing, and distribution of agricultural goods. Effective financial management within this sector is critical because it directly influences resource allocation, investment decisions, risk mitigation, and ultimately the sustainability and profitability of agribusiness enterprises (Sheyoputri, 2024). Historically, farming operations were often managed on a relatively ad hoc basis, but as markets, technologies, and regulatory frameworks have evolved, the need for structured financial practices—such as budgeting, forecasting, and cost control—has become ever more apparent (Obst, Graham & Christie, 2007). In this way, managing finance and farming are no longer separate domains: instead, they are increasingly intertwined in shaping the success of agribusiness.

This study focuses on the interface between finance and farming by conducting a literature based analysis of financial management in agribusiness. The term “financial management” in this context refers to the strategic planning, organizing, directing and controlling of financial activities in a farming or agribusiness enterprise, including investment appraisal, working capital management, cost control, and external financing (Obst et al., 2007). Evidence from earlier research suggests that adoption of these practices has a significant positive relationship with farm profitability and enterprise performance (Gloy & LaDue, 2003). Blockages in access to capital, seasonal liquidity constraints, and high price volatility present unique challenges for agribusinesses, which underscores why the “bridging” of finance and farming is more than metaphorical—it is operationally vital.

Empirical studies and recent reviews highlight that agribusinesses which systematically apply financial management practices experience measurable performance benefits. For example, Gloy & LaDue (2003) found that investment analysis and business analysis practices adopted by dairy farms in New York correlated with improved profitability. More recently, research in agribusiness finance shows that cost management in Kenyan agribusinesses exerts a statistically significant influence on return on investment (Gitau, 2019). Meanwhile, Sheyoputri (2024) in a systematic literature review of agribusiness financial management concludes that digital financial strategies, networking and collaboration are emerging as key enablers of sustainability in the sector. Together, these findings provide a strong rationale for reviewing the existing literature to map out what is known, where gaps remain, and what future directions may be.

In the agribusiness context, the “bridge” between finance and farming addresses several inter related dimensions: (a) linking financial planning and control mechanisms with farming operations; (b) aligning access to and use of financial resources (e.g., credit, investment) with agricultural production cycles; and (c) integrating modern financial instruments, digital systems and risk management practices adapted to the realities of agriculture (Sheyoputri, 2024). This bridging is particularly important given that agribusinesses face inherent seasonal cash-flow fluctuations, commodity price swings, climate-related risks and capital constraints (Gairola & Dey, 2023). Thus, a literature based review provides a valuable platform to synthesise what the field has learned to date and to

highlight how finance and farming practices might be better interwoven.

The aim of this paper is to perform a comprehensive literature based analysis of financial management in agribusiness, with the intent to: (1) identify key financial management practices employed in farming/agribusiness contexts; (2) examine how these practices relate to agribusiness performance and sustainability; (3) expose gaps, challenges and emerging trends in the literature; and (4) suggest implications for agribusiness practitioners and policy makers. By doing so, the study contributes to the academic discourse of agribusiness finance and offers insights for agribusiness enterprises seeking to more effectively align their financial management with farming operations.

Research Method

This study employs a qualitative, literature-based research design to examine financial management practices in agribusiness and their impact on farm performance, sustainability, and operational efficiency (Webster & Watson, 2002; Randolph, 2009). Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and systematic literature reviews published between 2000 and 2025 were collected from databases such as ScienceDirect, Taylor & Francis Online, MDPI, EBSCOhost, and Google Scholar, focusing on studies that explore cost management, working capital management, financial risk management, CSR, digital finance, financial literacy, and investment decision-making in agribusiness contexts (Gloy & LaDue, 2003; Gitau, 2019; Rys-Jurek, 2021; Sheyoputri, 2024). Inclusion criteria required relevance to agribusiness finance, methodological rigor, and clear operational or strategic implications, while studies unrelated to agriculture or lacking sufficient data were excluded. While the literature-based approach allows comprehensive cross-contextual insights, limitations include variability in methodologies, geographic focus, and farm types, which may affect generalizability, as well as limited empirical research on emerging trends such as digital finance adoption in developing-country agribusinesses (Sheyoputri, 2024; Xue, 2024). Overall, this methodology enables a systematic exploration of how financial management practices are operationalized in agribusiness and how they contribute to profitability, resilience, and sustainability.

Result and Discussion

The literature-based analysis reveals that financial management practices play a critical role in shaping agribusiness performance, sustainability, and operational efficiency. Across multiple contexts, structured financial practices—such as investment appraisal, cost management, working capital management, financial risk management, and integration of digital tools—consistently correlate with improved profitability and resilience in farming operations. Empirical studies indicate that farms adopting advanced financial tools, including net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) analyses, demonstrate higher performance metrics compared to farms relying solely on basic financial practices (Gloy & LaDue, 2003). These findings suggest that formalized financial planning enables more informed decision-making, optimized resource allocation, and enhanced operational flexibility, particularly in environments characterized by biological uncertainty and

seasonal cash-flow variability (Kay et al., 2012; Bojnec & Latruffe, 2009).

Cost management emerges as a pivotal determinant of performance, particularly in contexts with volatile input prices and narrow profit margins. Gitau (2019) found that Kenyan agribusinesses with effective cost-control systems achieved significantly higher returns on investment by monitoring expenditures, optimizing input use, and aligning operational practices with financial planning. This highlights that cost management functions not only as a financial measure but as an operational bridge linking farm-level efficiency with strategic profitability.

Working capital management (WCM) further underscores the operational importance of finance in agriculture. Studies by Ryś-Jurek (2021) and Gołaś (2020) demonstrate that firms with optimized working capital cycles—through timely management of receivables, payables, and inventory—achieve higher profitability while maintaining liquidity. Seasonal and climate-related variability, which is inherent in farming, makes such practices especially crucial, as observed in Kenyan fruit farms where structured WCM practices reduced liquidity constraints during peak harvest periods (Nyamai, 2024). These findings indicate that WCM is not merely a financial metric but a context-sensitive operational tool that enhances farm resilience and continuity.

Financial risk management (FRM) and corporate social responsibility (CSR) are also integral to bridging finance and farming. Agricultural enterprises face diverse risk exposures, including market volatility, climatic shocks, and institutional instability (Komarek, 2020). Integrating CSR practices—such as sustainable sourcing, community engagement, and environmental stewardship—into risk management has been shown to lower exposure to financial and reputational risks while improving stakeholder trust (Polukhin & Panarina, 2022; Biró, 2020). These insights suggest that financial management in agribusiness cannot be considered in isolation; strategic incorporation of social and environmental factors strengthens operational legitimacy and long-term sustainability.

The digital transformation of agribusiness represents another emerging dimension linking finance and operational performance. Xue (2024) and Liu et al. (2023) highlight that digital technologies, including IoT, big data, AI, and digital finance platforms, improve credit access, enable real-time cost monitoring, and support precision investment decisions. However, adoption barriers such as low digital literacy and limited infrastructure persist, particularly among smallholder farms (Ndekwa, 2023). Consequently, digital finance serves both as a tool for operational efficiency and a mechanism to enhance the strategic alignment of production and financial management, reinforcing the “bridge” between finance and farming.

Financial literacy (FL) is equally essential. Studies in Indonesia and Kenya show that farmers with higher FL are better able to leverage financial resources, manage price volatility, and improve operational outcomes (Napu et al., 2025; Kenyanya et al., 2024). In combination with digital tools, FL enables small-scale agribusinesses to translate financial opportunities into measurable performance gains. Without sufficient FL, even well-designed financial instruments cannot fully optimize productivity or profitability.

Finally, investment decision-making highlights the intersection of finance and farm

operations. Moldovan and Beleiu (2020) and Mang'ana (2023) note that structured frameworks for evaluating land, equipment, and technology investments improve returns while mitigating risk, particularly when integrated with scenario analysis and real-options approaches. Such practices allow agribusinesses to balance operational needs, financial constraints, and long-term sustainability objectives, operationalizing the theoretical bridge between finance and farming.

In summary, the literature indicates that effective financial management in agribusiness is multi-dimensional, encompassing cost control, WCM, FRM, CSR integration, digital finance adoption, FL, and structured investment decision-making. Across geographic and operational contexts, these practices consistently enhance profitability, resilience, and sustainability. Moreover, bridging finance and farming is not merely an administrative task but a strategic imperative: aligning financial tools with operational realities enables agribusinesses to respond to seasonal, market, and environmental uncertainties while pursuing long-term growth.

Conclusion

This literature-based study demonstrates that effective financial management is a critical determinant of agribusiness performance, resilience, and sustainability. Structured financial practices—including cost management, working capital management, financial risk management, investment appraisal, and the integration of digital tools—consistently contribute to improved profitability and operational efficiency across diverse agribusiness contexts. The findings highlight that bridging finance and farming is not merely theoretical but operationally essential, as aligning financial mechanisms with production cycles enables farms to mitigate seasonal cash-flow fluctuations, respond to market and environmental risks, and optimize resource allocation. Furthermore, the incorporation of corporate social responsibility and the promotion of financial literacy among small-scale farmers emerge as vital enablers, enhancing both social legitimacy and the practical effectiveness of financial strategies. Digital transformation further strengthens this interface by facilitating real-time financial monitoring, credit access, and precision-based decision-making, although adoption remains constrained by infrastructure and literacy challenges. Overall, this study underscores that agribusiness financial management is inherently multi-dimensional: its success depends on the integration of operational, technological, human-capital, and strategic considerations. For practitioners and policymakers, these insights emphasize the need for context-sensitive financial frameworks, capacity-building programs, and digital innovation initiatives that collectively enable agribusinesses to achieve sustainable growth and long-term competitiveness.

References

- Biró, K. (2020). Corporate social responsibility in agribusiness: Climate-related initiatives. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1–15. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7333225/>

- Bojnec, Š., & Latruffe, L. (2009). Farm size, agricultural subsidies and farm performance in Slovenia. *Agricultural Economics*, 40(2), 191–202. (Referenced for moderation of size effect)
- Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 30(3–4), 573–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00008>
- Gairola, G., & Dey, K. (2023). Moderators of pricing and willingness to pay for parametric weather risk mitigants in agriculture: An integrative review, conceptual framework, and research agenda. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 11, 2254579.
- Galapin, R. G., Ibieza, D. B., & Vergara, J. T. (2025). Assessing financial literacy levels among small scale farmers. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*.
- Gloy, B. A., & LaDue, E. L. (2003). Financial management practices and farm profitability. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 63(2), 157–174.
- Gołaś, Z. (2020). Impact of working capital management on business performance: Evidence from Polish food companies. *Agricultural Economics – Czech*, 66(6), 289–296. <https://agricecon.agriculturejournals.cz/pdfs/age/2020/06/05.pdf>
- Kay, R. D., Edwards, W. M., Duffy, P. A. (2012). *Farm Management* (7th ed.). McGraw Hill. (Referenced for theoretical underpinnings of farm financial management)
- Kenyanya, P. N., et al. (2024). Financial factors, financial literacy, and coffee productivity among smallholder farmers. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 9(2), 1–14. <https://www.ejbmr.org/index.php/ejbmr/article/view/2488>
- Komarek, A. M. (2020). A review of types of risks in agriculture: What we know and what we need to know. *Agricultural Systems*, 184, 102946. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308521X18312034>
- Kondratenko, V., et al. (2022). Financial risk management for sustainable agricultural development based on corporate social responsibility in the interests of food security. *Risks*, 10(1), 17.
- Leitão, F. O., Paiva, E. L., & Thomé, K. M. (2023). Agribusiness capabilities and performance: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *British Food Journal*, 125(2), 595–622.
- Liu, X., et al. (2023). Opportunity or challenge? Research on the influence of digital finance on agribusiness digital transformation. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1072. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/2/1072>

- Mang'ana, K. M. (2023). Financial management practices and performance of agribusiness firms: Empirical evidence. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590291123000992>
- Moldovan, I., & Beileu, I. (2020). An analysis of investment decisions in agribusiness. *LUMEN Proceedings*.
- Napu, F., Syaifuddin, D. T., Wawo, A. B., & Zaid, S. (2025). Study of financial management behavior based on financial self-efficacy and financial literacy in small-scale agribusiness development. *Journal of Global Innovation in Agricultural & Social Sciences*, 13(1), 319–331.
- Ndekwa, A. G. (2023). Digital transformation of small-scale African agribusinesses: Implications for financial management. *Realities of Agricultural & Rural Development*, 13(2), 234–245.
- Nyamai, L. M. (2024). Effect of financial planning and working capital management on the performance of fruit farming in Kenya: A case of Machakos County. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting*.
- Obst, W. J., Graham, R., & Christie, G. (2007). *Financial Management for Agribusiness*. Landlinks Press.
- Polukhin, D., & Panarina, M. (2022). Financial risk management for sustainable agricultural development based on corporate social responsibility. *ResearchGate*.
- Randolph, J. (2009). A guide to writing the dissertation literature review. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 14(13), 1–13.
- Ryś-Jurek, A. (2021). Working capital management and financial performance of agricultural holdings in the European Union. *European Research Studies Journal*, 24(1), 93–108.
- Sheyoputri, A. C. A. (2024). Financial management in agribusiness: Digital transformation and collaborative strategies for sustainability. *ResearchGate*.
- Soekarni, S. (2024). Financial literacy and adoption of fintech in Philippine agribusiness. *Taylor & Francis Online*.
- Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(45), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45>
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), xiii–xxiii.

Xue, B. (2024). Digital transformation in agribusiness: Implications for financial management and operational efficiency.