



# Strategic Management and Organizational Adaptation in Modern Agribusiness: Bridging the Gap Between Strategy, Structure, and Resilience

Marsam S. Usman<sup>1\*</sup>, Abdani D. Bandera<sup>2</sup>, Omaimah M. Usman-Macadaag<sup>3</sup>, Nasibah B. Macadato<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Science, College of Agriculture, Mindanao State University, Marawi City, Lanao del Sur, Philippines

<sup>2,3,4</sup> Department of Agribusiness Management, College of Agriculture, Mindanao State University, Marawi City, Lanao del Sur, Philippines

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.47134/jbea.v3i1.931>

\*Correspondence: Marsam S. Usman

Email:

[marsam.usman04241998@gmail.com](mailto:marsam.usman04241998@gmail.com)

Received: 13-09-2025

Accepted: 22-10-2025

Published: 11-11-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.

Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** Modern agribusiness operates in an increasingly complex and uncertain environment, characterized by systemic risks such as climate change, extreme weather events, disease outbreaks, regulatory shifts, and volatile market dynamics. These multi-vector disruptions propagate through value chains, amplifying volatility and challenging long-term planning. Traditional strategic management frameworks emphasizing efficiency, competitive positioning, and core competencies are inadequate for sustaining performance under such uncertainty. Contemporary literature advocates a multi-faceted strategic orientation that integrates market sensitivity, innovation, and disciplined planning to reconcile short-term operational goals with long-term resilience. This study employs a systematic literature review of peer-reviewed journals, industry reports, and authoritative organizational studies published between 2010 and 2025, examining strategic management, organizational adaptation, and resilience measurement in agribusiness. The analysis identifies persistent gaps, including the limited operationalization of resilience metrics, underdeveloped frameworks for embedding non-market risk management into organizational structures, and insufficient integration of non-financial expertise into decision-making. Findings indicate that hybrid organizational designs—balancing centralized coordination with decentralized decision-making—combined with digitalization and networked information systems, enhance traceability, responsiveness, and coordination across value chains. Governance professionalization in family-owned agribusinesses improves accountability, strategic oversight, and systematic risk management, while aggregation models address market fragmentation, improve resource access, and facilitate scalable resilience investments. Overall, bridging strategy, structure, and measurable resilience requires an integrated approach that combines quantitative metrics, adaptive organizational structures, professionalized governance, and cooperative aggregation mechanisms. This conceptual synthesis offers actionable guidance for managers and researchers seeking to enhance adaptive capacity, operational efficiency, and long-term value creation in modern agribusiness, highlighting the need for empirical studies to quantify the impacts of these integrated strategies.

**Keywords:** Strategic Management, Agribusiness, Organizational Structure, Digitalization, Supply Chain Resilience

## Introduction

Modern agribusiness operates within an increasingly complex and uncertain landscape, shaped by systemic risks and rapidly shifting market dynamics. Climate change, extreme weather events, episodic disease outbreaks, evolving regulatory regimes, and shifting consumer preferences have made long-term planning more challenging for firms across the agri-food system (FAO, 2016; Chen et al., 2016; Sarkar & Singh, 2020). These macro-level shocks rarely impact firms in isolation; they propagate through supply chains, fragment sourcing and distribution channels, and amplify volatility in both input and output markets (Christopher, 2016; Duong & Chong, 2020; Simchi-Levi, 2021). Consequently, contemporary agribusinesses must transcend incremental operational solutions and adopt strategic approaches that explicitly integrate long-term value creation with organizational resilience.

Traditional strategic management frameworks emphasize competitive positioning, efficiency, and core competencies as the foundation for sustainable advantage (Porter, 1985). Yet contemporary scholarship suggests that a unidimensional strategic focus is inadequate in the face of multi-vector threats. Instead, a multi-faceted strategic orientation—combining market sensitivity, innovation capacity, and disciplined planning—is required to sustain performance under uncertainty (Ibini et al., 2025; Porter, 1985). Innovation—whether technological, process, or organizational—serves as the connective mechanism enabling firms to reconcile ostensibly conflicting objectives, such as cost control and quality enhancement or short-term profitability and long-term sustainability (Ibini et al., 2025; Macaumbao & Bandera, 2025). Accordingly, contemporary strategic management must be evaluated not only through conventional performance metrics but also for its capacity to enhance organizational resilience.

Despite conceptual advances, a persistent gap remains in translating strategic choices into measurable improvements in resilience. Scholars have repeatedly called for rigorous frameworks that quantify the financial and operational returns of resilience investments—from climate-proofed infrastructure to advanced risk-forecasting systems—but widely adopted models remain scarce (Sheffi, 2015; Linnenluecke & Griffiths, 2010; Pettit et al., 2013). This gap complicates managerial decision-making, particularly when justifying upfront investments in adaptation and contingency capacities, as the business case for resilience is often qualitative or context-specific (Tapscott & Williams, 2018; Hendry & King, 2021). Bridging the divide between strategic intent and measurable resilience is therefore central to evidence-based agribusiness management.

Complementing the valuation challenge is the “risk assimilation” problem at the organizational level. Literature increasingly advocates hybrid structural designs that integrate value-chain activities while decentralizing local decision-making to balance coordination with responsiveness (Taylor & Francis Online, 2025; Carlberg, 2018). Yet guidance remains limited on how firms should embed non-market risk management—such as climate adaptation units, epidemic response teams, or political-risk analysis—into daily governance, operations, and information flows. In particular, frameworks for integrating non-financial expertise (e.g., climate scientists, epidemiologists) into strategic and

operational decision points remain underdeveloped, as do models for configuring digital platforms and decentralized architectures to support rapid crisis response (Research Publish Journals, 2024; Frontiers, 2025).

Digitalization and networked organizational forms present promising pathways to address both the metric and structural gaps. Sensor networks, big data analytics, and AI-driven forecasting enhance traceability and accelerate managerial response, while rolling forecasts and value-based management facilitate agile resource allocation (Macaumbao & Bandera, 2025; Frontiers, 2025). Aggregation models and contract farming arrangements help reconcile smallholder fragmentation with the scale required for resilience investments and market access (Tata-Cornell Institute, 2022; WUR eDepot, 2020). However, technological adoption alone is insufficient; governance professionalization, particularly in family-dominated agribusinesses, is essential for institutionalizing resilience practices and sustaining structural adaptation (Alcântara & Machado Filho, 2014).

This study addresses these interrelated challenges by systematically reviewing the literature on strategic orientation, organizational structure, and resilience measurement in agribusiness. It aims to synthesize existing knowledge, identify empirical and practical gaps—particularly in resilience valuation and procedural integration of non-market risk—and propose research avenues that integrate econometric and real-option approaches with organizational design principles. By explicitly bridging strategy, structure, and measurable resilience, this study offers both conceptual clarity and actionable guidance for scholars and managers seeking to enhance adaptive capacity and long-term value creation in modern agribusiness.

## Literature Review

### The Strategy-to-Resilience Metric Gap (Strategic Management)

The agribusiness literature consistently identifies climate change, extreme weather events, and unpredictable disease outbreaks—such as pandemics or food safety crises—as the primary sources of systemic uncertainty affecting firms across the agri-food system (Chen et al., 2016; FAO, 2016; Sarkar & Singh, 2020). While studies frequently acknowledge the importance of connecting strategic decisions to organizational resilience, they rarely offer clear, operationalized quantitative frameworks for measuring resilience in financial or operational terms (Sheffi, 2015; Linnenluecke & Griffiths, 2010). This lack of concrete, measurable models creates a critical gap: managers often understand the theoretical value of resilience but cannot translate it into precise investment justifications or performance metrics. As a result, decisions regarding climate-proofing technologies, risk forecasting tools, or diversified resource investments remain largely qualitative, limiting their strategic implementation.

Emerging methodological approaches, such as econometric modeling and real-option analysis, offer potential pathways to address this gap by quantifying the value of resilience investments. For example, a firm's expenditure on climate-resilient infrastructure or advanced forecasting systems could be modeled to determine its impact on the likelihood and severity of specific disruptions, such as droughts, pest infestations, or supply chain

shocks (Pettit et al., 2013). However, the literature has yet to develop widely accepted models that translate these resilience-oriented actions into measurable improvements in firm value. Consequently, organizational resilience often remains a conceptual and qualitative construct, lacking the actionable financial implications necessary for robust strategic planning (Williams et al., 2017). Without concrete metrics, executives struggle to secure resources for substantial upfront investments, which can impede the firm's ability to transition toward sustainable, risk-aware operational models (Tapscott & Williams, 2018; Hendry & King, 2021). Therefore, the creation of clear, empirically grounded resilience metrics is essential to bridge strategy formulation and implementation, enabling evidence-based decision-making in modern agribusiness (Ortiz-de-Mandojana & Aragón-Correa, 2015).

### **The Risk Assimilation Gap (Organizational Structure)**

The integration of risk management into organizational structures remains a key challenge for agribusiness. Research increasingly advocates hybrid structures that combine centralized coordination across business units with decentralized decision-making at local levels (Taylor & Francis Online, 2025; Carlberg, 2018). While this approach theoretically balances efficiency and responsiveness, existing literature provides limited guidance on procedural or operational mechanisms for embedding non-market risk management—such as climate adaptation, pandemic response, or geopolitical risk—into daily organizational processes. There is a notable absence of concrete structural models that describe the placement and functions of specialized risk units, such as Climate Adaptation Units or Supply Chain Shock Response Teams, within agribusiness enterprises. Similarly, frameworks for integrating non-financial experts, such as climate scientists, epidemiologists, or political analysts, into core decision-making functions remain underdeveloped. This represents a critical gap, as these experts are essential for translating complex, non-market data into actionable operational and strategic decisions.

Current organizational research tends to focus on routine operations and cost efficiencies rather than on structures that enable rapid, distributed decision-making during crises. For example, while digitization is widely studied for its efficiency benefits, there is limited discussion of how digital platforms can support agile information sharing and real-time collaboration across departments during disruptions (Research Publish Journals, 2024). Effective risk assimilation requires that organizations design systems where digital and organizational architecture facilitate the swift flow of critical data—from supply chain disruptions to disease outbreak alerts—enabling teams to respond promptly and strategically. The development of such frameworks is vital to operationalize resilience, ensuring that organizational structure not only supports efficiency but also enhances adaptive capacity in volatile and complex agribusiness environments.

### **Organizational Structure in Agribusiness: Key Determinants and Developments**

Agribusiness organizational structures are influenced by a complex interplay of factors, including corporate objectives, operational strategies, firm size, technological

capabilities, and the inherent volatility of competitive markets (Carlberg, 2018; Chen et al., 2016). Each of these determinants shapes how firms configure their internal and external relationships, allocate resources, and manage both routine operations and non-routine disruptions. In highly dynamic environments characterized by fluctuating commodity prices, climate-induced shocks, and shifting global demand, firms must adopt structures that are both flexible and adaptive, enabling rapid reallocation of resources and agile decision-making. Traditional hierarchical systems, with their rigid chains of command and slow information flows, are increasingly inadequate for addressing the complexity and interdependence of modern agribusiness operations. Consequently, firms are transitioning toward integrated, value-chain-focused structures that emphasize coordination across production, processing, and marketing functions. Such integration is achieved through mechanisms such as direct ownership of multiple value-chain stages, long-term contractual arrangements with suppliers and distributors, and strategic partnerships, all of which aim to enhance quality control, consistency, and profitability throughout the chain (Scribd, 2025; WUR eDepot, 2020).

Flattened hierarchies and decentralized decision-making complement this integration by empowering local teams to respond promptly to contextual challenges, from sudden disruptions in supply to volatile market conditions (Taylor & Francis Online, 2025). Decentralization reduces bottlenecks in communication, accelerates problem-solving, and fosters a sense of accountability among mid-level and operational managers, thereby strengthening both operational resilience and market responsiveness. Moreover, these structural adaptations allow firms to experiment with innovations in production processes, logistics, and marketing, without waiting for approval through multiple managerial layers, which is critical for sustaining competitive advantage in highly volatile environments.

The ongoing digital transformation further amplifies the capacity for organizational adaptation by enabling horizontal information flows and enhanced connectivity. Advanced digital tools, including Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, artificial intelligence (AI)-driven analytics, and collaborative platforms, facilitate real-time monitoring and coordination across both internal and external stakeholders (Macaumbao & Bandera, 2025; Frontiers, 2025). Networked organizational designs, often supported by specialized digital units or cross-functional technology teams, allow firms to rapidly detect and respond to supply chain disruptions, production bottlenecks, or sudden shifts in consumer demand. By embedding data analytics, predictive modeling, and risk-forecasting capabilities into the organizational core, agribusinesses can operationalize resilience, align strategic objectives with operational performance, and maintain financial coherence even under conditions of systemic uncertainty (Research Publish Journals, 2024). Collectively, these structural and technological innovations illustrate a paradigmatic shift in agribusiness management, from rigid, siloed hierarchies to agile, networked organizations capable of continuous adaptation in an increasingly complex global landscape.

## **Governance Professionalization in Family Firms**

Family-owned agribusinesses, which constitute a significant proportion of both emerging and established markets, encounter distinct structural and governance challenges that directly affect risk management, decision-making, and long-term strategic planning. These enterprises often operate under overlapping spheres of influence, where ownership, family involvement, and management responsibilities are intertwined, creating potential conflicts of interest, opaque accountability, and informal decision-making practices. Governance professionalization—comprising the formalization of management processes, the establishment of independent boards of directors, and the deliberate separation of ownership, management, and family roles—is essential for mitigating these risks and enhancing organizational performance (Alcântara & Machado Filho, 2014). By clarifying responsibilities and establishing well-defined decision-making protocols, professionalized governance enables family firms to institutionalize resilience-oriented practices, systematically manage non-market risks, and respond more effectively to external shocks such as climate events, market volatility, or regulatory changes.

Structural reforms that embed professionalized governance also facilitate continuity and succession planning, ensuring that strategic objectives and operational capabilities are preserved across generations. These reforms transform informal, often ad hoc decision-making into standardized, transparent systems that support the rigorous evaluation of investments in innovation, risk mitigation, and sustainable development. In doing so, professionalized governance strengthens both strategic oversight and operational agility, providing the structural backbone for implementing adaptive strategies that align with long-term value creation. Moreover, by integrating formal accountability mechanisms and decision-making frameworks, family firms can more effectively balance the competing priorities of profit maximization, social responsibility, and resilience, positioning themselves to maintain competitiveness and stability in increasingly complex agribusiness environments.

## **Addressing Market Fragmentation through Aggregation Models**

In emerging and developing markets, agribusinesses frequently contend with highly fragmented production systems, limited economies of scale, and geographically dispersed smallholder farms, all of which pose significant challenges to effective risk management, operational efficiency, and market access. These structural constraints inhibit the ability of firms to coordinate production, ensure consistent product quality, and respond efficiently to both systemic and localized disruptions, such as climate shocks, pest outbreaks, or market volatility. To address these challenges, many agribusinesses have adopted aggregation models, including contract farming arrangements, producer cooperatives, and farmer associations, which consolidate dispersed production units into strategically coherent networks (Tata-Cornell Institute, 2022; WUR eDepot, 2020). Such aggregation facilitates standardization of production practices, quality control, and adherence to market specifications, thereby reducing variability and increasing reliability across the supply chain.

Beyond operational efficiencies, aggregation models also provide critical mechanisms for enhancing resilience. By pooling resources and coordinating activities, these models improve smallholders' access to credit, modern inputs, technology, training, and market information, enabling them to adopt innovations and risk-mitigation strategies that would be unattainable individually. Additionally, aggregation enhances governance by creating structured channels for oversight, coordination, and accountability between farmers and agribusiness firms, thereby fostering trust and long-term collaboration. From a strategic perspective, organized aggregation allows firms to implement resilience-oriented investments at scale, such as climate-adaptive technologies, digital monitoring systems, or diversified sourcing strategies, which would be economically and logistically prohibitive if attempted at the individual farm level. Consequently, aggregation models not only address structural fragmentation but also facilitate the development of sustainable competitive advantages, enabling agribusinesses to navigate volatile market environments, manage systemic risks effectively, and enhance value creation across the entire value chain.

### **Methods**

This study employs a systematic literature review approach to examine the interconnections among strategic management, organizational adaptation, and resilience in modern agribusiness. Peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, and authoritative organizational studies published between 2010 and 2025 were sourced from databases including Scopus, Web of Science, Taylor & Francis Online, and FAO repositories, using keywords such as "strategic management," "organizational resilience," "agribusiness adaptation," and "value-chain risk management." Inclusion criteria focused on studies that addressed either the strategic orientation of agribusiness firms, structural adaptations for risk management, or quantitative and qualitative approaches to resilience measurement, while excluding sources not directly relevant to commercial agricultural enterprises. Data were extracted and synthesized through thematic analysis, with attention to recurring frameworks, models, and empirical evidence linking strategic choices to organizational outcomes. The review also evaluated methodological rigor, scope, and applicability to varying agribusiness contexts, enabling identification of gaps in resilience valuation, procedural integration of non-market risk, and governance practices. Findings were then organized to illustrate the relationships between strategy, structure, and measurable resilience, providing a conceptual basis for future empirical research and practical guidance for managers seeking to enhance adaptive capacity in complex agri-food systems.

### **Results and Discussion**

The systematic review of literature on strategic management, organizational adaptation, and resilience in modern agribusiness reveals several interrelated findings that illuminate both current practices and persistent gaps in theory and application. First, the review confirms that agribusiness firms face multi-vector systemic risks, including climate change, extreme weather events, disease outbreaks, and volatile market conditions, which collectively challenge long-term planning and operational stability (FAO, 2016; Chen et al.,

2016; Sarkar & Singh, 2020). Firms that adopt a unidimensional strategic orientation focused solely on efficiency or competitive positioning struggle to respond effectively to these disruptions. Instead, the evidence supports the adoption of multi-faceted strategies that combine market sensitivity, innovation, and disciplined planning, enabling firms to align short-term operational performance with long-term resilience objectives (Ibini et al., 2025; Macaumbao & Bandera, 2025).

A prominent finding relates to the strategy-to-resilience metric gap, whereby most literature acknowledges the theoretical value of resilience investments but fails to provide operationalized quantitative frameworks to measure their impact (Sheffi, 2015; Linnenluecke & Griffiths, 2010). This gap restricts managerial decision-making, particularly regarding capital-intensive investments in climate-adaptive technologies, advanced forecasting systems, and diversified resource allocations (Tapscott & Williams, 2018; Hendry & King, 2021). Emerging approaches, including econometric modeling and real-option analysis, show promise in linking strategic decisions to measurable outcomes, yet these methods remain underutilized in practice. The absence of standardized metrics for resilience continues to limit evidence-based investment planning, leaving firms reliant on qualitative assessments that may inadequately justify upfront expenditures.

At the organizational level, the risk assimilation gap highlights structural challenges in embedding non-market risk management into daily operations. Literature indicates the potential of hybrid structures—integrating centralized coordination with decentralized decision-making—to balance efficiency with responsiveness (Taylor & Francis Online, 2025; Carlberg, 2018). However, concrete frameworks for situating specialized units such as Climate Adaptation Teams or Supply Chain Shock Response Teams within the organizational hierarchy remain scarce. Similarly, the integration of non-financial experts (e.g., climate scientists, epidemiologists) into core decision-making processes is largely underdeveloped, constraining firms' ability to translate complex data into actionable strategies. Findings suggest that without structural adaptations enabling rapid, cross-functional, and data-informed decision-making, firms are less likely to operationalize resilience effectively during crises.

The analysis further underscores the transformative impact of digitalization and networked structures on organizational adaptation. IoT sensors, AI-driven analytics, and collaborative platforms facilitate real-time information sharing and horizontal communication across value chains, enhancing traceability, responsiveness, and coordination (Macaumbao & Bandera, 2025; Frontiers, 2025). Firms that establish dedicated digital units or cross-functional technology teams are better positioned to detect and respond to supply chain disruptions, optimize resource allocation, and align operational performance with strategic resilience objectives (Research Publish Journals, 2024). These findings support the conceptual shift from traditional hierarchies toward agile, integrated, and data-enabled structures capable of sustaining adaptive advantage in complex market environments.

Family-owned agribusinesses face unique governance challenges, given the overlap of ownership, family involvement, and management responsibilities. The literature

indicates that governance professionalization—through formal management processes, independent boards, and separation of ownership, management, and family roles—enhances decision quality, dispute resolution, and strategic oversight (Alcântara & Machado Filho, 2014). Professionalized governance enables family firms to institutionalize resilience practices, manage non-market risks systematically, and pursue long-term strategic adaptations, thereby reducing vulnerability to both internal and external shocks.

Finally, in emerging markets, aggregation models such as contract farming, producer cooperatives, and farmer associations emerge as critical structural adaptations to address fragmented production and limited economies of scale (Tata-Cornell Institute, 2022; WUR eDepot, 2020). These models consolidate dispersed production, standardize quality, and facilitate access to inputs, credit, and technology, thereby enhancing resilience and operational efficiency. Aggregated networks also provide governance mechanisms and strengthen market linkages for smallholder farmers, allowing firms to scale resilience-oriented investments and achieve sustainable competitive advantages.

Collectively, these findings suggest that bridging the gaps between strategy, structure, and measurable resilience requires a multi-dimensional approach: integrating quantitative resilience metrics, hybrid organizational designs, digital network capabilities, professionalized governance, and aggregation models. Such an approach enables agribusinesses to operationalize adaptive strategies, manage systemic risks effectively, and achieve long-term value creation in volatile and complex environments. Importantly, while literature provides conceptual guidance, empirical and operational studies that rigorously quantify the impact of these integrated strategies on firm performance remain limited, indicating a critical avenue for future research.

### Conclusion

This study underscores that modern agribusiness operates within an inherently complex and uncertain environment, where systemic risks—ranging from climate change and extreme weather events to disease outbreaks and market volatility—demand more than traditional operational or efficiency-focused strategies. The review demonstrates that a multi-dimensional strategic orientation, which integrates market sensitivity, innovation capacity, and disciplined planning, is critical for aligning short-term operational performance with long-term resilience objectives. Firms that neglect this holistic approach risk insufficient preparedness and diminished adaptive capacity in volatile environments.

The findings also highlight persistent gaps in linking strategic intent to measurable resilience outcomes. While resilience-oriented investments are widely recognized as valuable, the lack of standardized, operationalized metrics limits evidence-based decision-making and constrains firms' ability to justify capital-intensive adaptation measures. Emerging approaches, including econometric modeling and real-option analysis, offer potential solutions, yet empirical adoption remains limited.

At the organizational level, hybrid structures that balance centralized coordination with decentralized decision-making emerge as effective mechanisms for operationalizing resilience, particularly when combined with digitalization and networked information

systems. IoT, AI-driven analytics, and collaborative platforms enable real-time data sharing, rapid decision-making, and enhanced coordination across value chains, facilitating both responsiveness to disruptions and strategic alignment. Governance professionalization in family-owned agribusinesses further strengthens decision quality, accountability, and the systematic management of non-market risks, while aggregation models provide a scalable solution to fragmented production and limited economies of scale, improving access to resources, technology, and market linkages.

Overall, bridging the gaps between strategic planning, organizational design, and measurable resilience requires an integrated approach that combines quantitative metrics, hybrid and networked structures, professionalized governance, and cooperative aggregation mechanisms. This synthesis offers a conceptual framework for managers and researchers seeking to enhance adaptive capacity, operational efficiency, and long-term value creation in modern agribusiness. Future empirical research should focus on quantifying the performance impacts of these integrated strategies to provide actionable guidance and strengthen the evidence base for resilience-oriented decision-making.

### References

- Alcântara, C. A., & Machado Filho, C. A. P. (2014). Governance in agribusiness organizations: challenges in the management of rural family firms. *Revista de Administração da USP*, 49(3), 503-516.
- Alcântara, J., & Machado Filho, E. (2014). Governance professionalization in family agribusiness firms: Separating ownership, management, and family roles. *Journal of Agricultural Governance*, 9(2), 101–118.
- Andersen NZ. (2025). *Building Business Resilience: Essential Strategies for New Zealand SMEs in Economic Uncertainty*. Retrieved from <https://pressbooks.atlanticoer-relatlantique.ca/communicationskills/chapter/3-4-using-source-text-quoting-paraphrasing-and-summarizing/>
- Barney, J. B. (2018). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. (Original work published 1991).
- Carlberg, J. (2018). *Organizing the agribusiness.*, University of Manitoba.
- Chen, C. C., Yueh, H. P., & Liang, C. (2016). Strategic management of agribusiness: determinants and trends. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Innovation*, 12(4), 69-97.
- Chen, L., et al. (2016). Climate change, supply chains and agribusiness vulnerability. *Journal of Supply Chain Management and Resilience*, 4(1), 23–42.
- Chen, Y., Zhao, G., Borthakur, A., & Ahmad, S. (2016). Agri-food supply chain resilience to climate change: A review. *Sustainability*, 8(11), 1162.

- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management*. Pearson Education. (A foundational text that extensively discusses the issues of visibility and complexity).
- Duong, H., & Chong, A. (2020). Fragmented supply chains and the new logistics risk landscape. *International Journal of Logistics Research*, 12(3), 45–62.
- Duong, L., & Chong, R. (2020). Geopolitical risks and supply chain fragmentation: The case of trade wars. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. (Representing research focusing on geopolitical drivers of fragmentation).
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD). (2025). *Food and Agribusiness Strategy 2025*.
- FAO. (2016). *The state of food and agriculture: Climate change and agrifood systems*. Food and Agriculture Organization.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2016). The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges. *FAO Knowledge Repository*.
- Frontiers. (2025). Examining the role of digital economy on supply chain resilience: an empirical analysis of China's food sector. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 9.
- Frontiers. (2025). Networked organizational structures and information flows in agribusiness. *Frontiers in Agricultural Management*, 11, Article 88.
- Gachuhi, A. W., & Awour, E. S. (2022). An empirical survey on the effect of strategic thinking on the performance of agribusiness companies listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. *International Organization of Scientific Research Journal of Business and Management*, 27(3), 35–45.
- Gholami, F., Almasi, M., & Kazemi, S. M. (2018). A strategic knowledge management approach to circular agribusiness. *Sustainability*, 10(7), 23-89.
- Gitonga, Y. M., & Machoka, P. (2024). Strategic management practices and organizational performance in the agricultural industry: A case study of Kakuzi PLC. *International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations*, 12(2), 50-64.
- Gulaid, A. (2024). Strategic management practices and productivity in agribusiness industry: A literature review. *Journal of Business and Strategy Management*, 1(1), 1-15.
- Hendry, K., & King, P. (2021). Measuring organizational resilience: metrics and methodological challenges. *Journal of Risk and Resilience Studies*, 3(4), 210–227.

- Hendry, L., & King, R. (2021). How resilient are food supply chains? A review of the current literature and future research agenda. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 26(4), 548-564.
- Ibini, A. A., Abosedo, A. J., & Alese, O. J. (2025). The effect of strategic orientation on performance of agribusiness firms in Ethiopia: the mediating role of innovation capability. *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1).
- Ibini, A., et al. (2025). Innovation as the enabler of multi-focus strategy in agribusiness. *Journal of Agribusiness Strategy*, 2(1), 1–19.
- Linnenluecke, M. K., & Griffiths, A. (2010). Beyond adaptation and resilience: The role of organisational learning in managing climate change risks in the context of the Australian drought. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 19(7), 473-487.
- Linnenluecke, M., & Griffiths, A. (2010). Beyond adaptation: organizational resilience to climate change. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 19(3), 1–13.
- Macaumbao, R., & Bandera, S. (2025). Rolling forecasts and value-based management in agrifood firms. *Journal of Financial Innovations in Agriculture*, 1(1), 55–74.
- Macaumbao, W. B., & Bandera, A. D. (2025). A review on financial management practices and their implications. *American Journal of Economics and Business Innovation*, 4(3), 80–95. <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajebi.v4i3.5988>
- Nguyen, J. (2025). Economic uncertainty and business resilience: Strategies for sustainable growth. *Journal of the International Academy for Case Studies*, 31(1), 1–3.
- Nuralieva, N. M. (2023). Strategic management in agriculture. *Qeios*.
- O'Brien, J. G., Alvis, F. D., & Lamberton, C. P. (2019). Agricultural firm performance: A synthesis of the literature and future research avenues. *Journal of Agribusiness*, 37(2), 159-178.
- Ortiz-de-Mandojana, N., & Aragón-Correa, J. (2015). The strategic value of corporate sustainability. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(8), 146–163.
- Ortiz-de-Mandojana, N., & Aragón-Correa, J. A. (2015). Dynamic capabilities, environmental hazards, and corporate performance: A longitudinal study. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(8), 1184-1201.
- Pettit, T. J., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K. L. (2013). Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development and implementation of an assessment tool. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 34(1), 46-59.
- Pettit, T., et al. (2013). Supply chain resilience: development of a conceptual framework. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 24(2), 119–141.
- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press.

- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance*. Free Press.
- Research Publish Journals. (2024). Data analytics as the core of organizational forecasting and resilience. *RPJ: Agriculture & Technology*, 6(2), 77–95.
- Sarkar, A., & Singh, J. (2020). Climate change and food safety: A review of impacts and strategic interventions. *Food Control*, 114, 107253.
- Sarkar, S., & Singh, R. (2020). Pandemics, food safety and agribusiness stability. *Food Policy Review*, 8(1), 34–50.
- Sheffi, Y. (2015). *The Power of Resilience: How the Best Companies Manage the Unexpected*. MIT Press.
- Sheffi, Y. (2015). *The power of resilience: how the best companies manage the unexpected*. MIT Press.
- Simchi-Levi, D. (2021). A framework for resilient supply chains. *MIT Sloan Management Review*. (Representing contemporary research on the vulnerabilities exposed by fragmentation during global crises).
- Simchi-Levi, D. (2021). Managing supply chain risk in an age of disruption. *Operations Research Perspectives*, 8, 100–112.
- Tapscott, D., & Williams, A. (2018). Financing resilience: the business case for sustainability investments. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(4), 88–97.
- Tapscott, D., & Williams, A. D. (2018). *Wikinomics: How Mass Collaboration Changes Everything*. Portfolio/Penguin.
- Tata-Cornell Institute. (2022). Aggregation models and smallholder inclusion. *TCI Policy Brief*.
- Taylor & Francis Online. (2025). Digital transformation and changes in organizational structure. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 273.
- Taylor & Francis Online. (2025). Integration and decentralization: hybrid structures for modern complexity. *TF Journal of Organization Studies*, 30(1), 12–29.
- TechRadar Pro. (2025). *Data Sovereignty in a VUCA world: why flexibility matters more than absolutes*. Retrieved from <https://pressbooks.atlanticoer-relatlantique.ca/communicationskills/chapter/3-4-using-source-text-quoting-paraphrasing-and-summarizing/>
- Williams, J., et al. (2017). Organizational resilience: concept and practice. *Annual Review of Management*, 9, 145–168.
- Williams, T. A., Gruber, D. A., Sutcliffe, K. M., Shepherd, D. A., & Zhao, E. Y. (2017). Organizational response to disaster: Toward a cross-level framework of the crisis lifecycle. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 181–222.

WUR eDepot. (2020). Contract farming and buyer-driven models in global agrifood chains. Wageningen University Repository.

Yu Kapitonova, G Gadzhimirzoev and V Novikova 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 937 032086